	179
1	under the name of Judy Jaimie C-O-A-T-E.
2	Q What was the result of your examination?
3	A The Commonwealth's Exhibit 31A and B, deceased
4	fingerprints, were made by the same fingerprints appearing
5	on Commonwealth's Exhibit 32, the fingerprint card bearing
6	the name of Judy Jaimie Coate, C-O-A-T-E.
7	MR. HORAN: I have no further questions, Your
8	Honor.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon.
10	MR. SHELDON: No questions, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Is Mr. Cole free to go or subject
12	to recall?
13	MR. HORAN: He's free to go.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Cole, thank you very
15	much. You're free to go, sir.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	(The witness excused.)
18	THE COURT: Mr. Horan.
19	MR. HORAN: William Reeves.
20	Whereupon
21	WILLIAM REEVES
22	a witness, was called for examination by counsel on behalf
23	of the Commonwealth, and after having been duly sworn by

	100
1	the Clerk, was examined and testified, as follows:
2	THE COURT: Good afternoon, sir.
3	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
4	THE COURT: Sounds like you may be soft
5	spoken, I want you to please move a little bit closer to
6	the microphone. Keep your voice up, so the members of the
7	jury can hear your testimony and please listen to and
8	answer the questions the attorneys may ask you, sir.
9	Mr. Horan.
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. HORAN:
12	Q State your full name and your occupation.
13	A My name is William J. Reeves, R-E-E-V-E-S, and
14	I'm a fingerprint specialist for the Fairfax County Police
15	Department.
16	Q What are the duties of a fingerprint
17	specialist of the Fairfax County Police Department?
18	A My duties are to compare and analyze
19	fingerprint submissions to our office. By this I mean, we
20	receive fingerprint evidence from crime scenes, as well as
21	fingerprints that are recorded at the jail for comparison
22	to each other.
23	Q How often do you do that?

	181
1	A Eight hours a day every day. At least eight
2	hours a day every day.
3	Q How long have you been in the fingerprint
4	field?
5	A Over thirty years now.
6	Q When did you start?
7	A I started in 1972 at the FBI Identification
8	Division over in Washington, D.C. I spent
9	Q What was your job at the FBI?
10	A I classified, compared and analyzed
11	fingerprint submissions in the criminal division of the
12	identification division where we searched questioned
13	fingerprints against those of known records.
14	Q Did you receive any educational training at
15	the FBI?
16	A Yes. We went through several months of
17	training, followed by one-on-one tutorship from more
18	senior examiners and training in fingerprint work is
19	ongoing all the time, but I went through several months of
20	training. I think the probation period was about a year.
21	Q And did you eventually work in the field at
22	the FBI?
23	A Yes, I did.

	182
1	Q For what period of time did you do that?
2	A I spent about four-and-a-half years at the
3	FBI.
4	Q What did you do subsequent to your FBI job?
5	A Then I went to the Illinois State Police in
6	Joliet, Illinois as part of their Illinois Crime Lab
7	system doing similar work for nearly seventeen years.
8	Q How frequently would you examine and compare
9	prints during those seventeen years?
10	A I'm sorry?
11	Q During those seventeen years, how frequently
12	would you examine and compare prints?
13	A On a daily basis, sir.
14	Q Once you left the Illinois State Police, what
15	did you do?
16	A I worked for a contracting firm where we did
17	fingerprinting for licensing and regulatory, such as,
18	school bus drivers, daycare and we obtained those on a
19	contractual basis for the Illinois State Police and
20	submitted them.
21	It was an electronic live-scan-gathering
22	system and I did that for about three years.
23	Q Okay. What did you do after that?

1 I came -- in the interim I spent some time 2 with another firm, part time, while I finished college and 3 then I joined the Fairfax County Police Department about -- it'll be six years this year. 4 5 And what are your duties with the Fairfax 6 Police Department? 7 I compare and analyze the fingerprints that Α R We do acquire a variety of types of are submitted. 9 fingerprint work on a daily basis. 10 You're with the Fairfax police, but you're 11 with somebody called NOVARIS? 12 Α Yes. That's --13 0 What is NOVARIS? 14 Α NOVARIS is an acronym for the Northern 15 Virginia Regional Identification System. It's -- Fairfax 16 County Police Department is the host agency for this and 17 it encompasses several jurisdictions. Like, such as, 18 Arlington County, Alexandria City, Falls Church City, 19 Fairfax City, Prince William County and we compile 20 repository over here next door in the Massey Building of 21 fingerprint data from all of these jurisdictions and we've 22 computerized its fingerprints so we can analyze them and

can share information on cases with each other.

23

1 Now, other than your original training with Q 2 the FBI, have you had any other training through the years 3 in the same field? 4 The last (inaudible) I had approximately 5 eight or nine hundred hours of additional training and I 6 go to thirty or forty hours of training a year at minimum, 7 and then training is ongoing. We are always bouncing 8 training ideas off of each other. 9 I've been through the three week 10 administrative advance fingerprint course at the FBI 11 Academy, as well as some other courses either sponsored by 12 or at the FBI Academy at Quantico. I've gone to study 13 under different seminars taught by the Royal Canadian 14 Mounted Police, Arizona Department of Public Safety, 15 Illinois State Police, New Jersey State Police, I've been 16 all over the United States for different types of 17 training. 18 0 More than 800 hours worth; is that what you 19 just said? 20 Α Something to that effect. MR. HORAN: Your Honor, I would submit that 21 22 Mr. Reeves' is an expert in his field. 23 THE COURT: Of fingerprint analysis?

	185
1	MR. HORAN: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Is there any objection?
3	MR. LOPEZ: No objection.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Reeves will also be received
5	as an expert in the field of fingerprint analysis, and
6	ladies and gentlemen, again, you will determine the
7	credibility of his testimony.
8	You may proceed, Mr. Horan.
9	BY MR. HORAN:
10	Q How are fingerprints compared for the purpose
11	of making an identification?
12	A Well, fingerprints are compared by noting
13	which characteristics let's say a questioned
14	fingerprint, and we look for various types of ridge
15	characteristics and you compare those to known examplors,
16	such as ink fingerprints, and we on a record where
17	prints have been taken deliberately from somebody and we
18	compare the various ridge characteristics on one to the
19	other and look for an agreement within these two images.
20	Q How many different kinds of ridge
21	characteristics are there?
22	A Ridge characteristics leave ridges that end
23	abruptly. We call those ending ridges or ridges that

divide and we call those bifurcation or dots. We also take into account the ridge structure, the flow of the ridges so whether it's curved or it was straight or different anomalies within an individual ridge units themselves, and we look for agreement among the -- between the two images.

1.4

Q What are the basic factors that you consider in making the identification?

A The two major basic factors are the fingerprints at first they are unique. No two people have been found to have the same fingerprints or palm prints or foot prints, and they are permanent. By permanent I mean, fingerprints begin to form in utero in the womb early in gestation and these ridge characteristics and points, if you will, will form within the uterus on the skin -- on the person's skin, on the hands and feet during that time and they stay persistent throughout life until death and decompose issues.

Except for permanent scarring or if -- if somebody, you know, has it surgically removed or amputated.

- Now, you used the term points, what's a point?
- A Points are these points characteristic

- 1	10,
1	details, features are all synonymous with each other in
2	the business. Except for the examiners have their own
3	terminology, but they're for semantics are the same
4	thing. These are these bifurcation and ending ridges that
5	I referred to earlier, and we look at those particular
6	issues and the fingerprints themselves.
7	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, could I have
8	Commonwealth's Exhibit 24, fingerprints that this officer
9	took.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Horan, can you describe what
11	you're looking for? Do you have what you need?
12	BY MR. HORAN:
13	Q Did there come a time when you took the
14	fingerprints of this Defendant?
15	A Yes.
16	Q When and where did that occur?
17	A It occurred on the evening of October 5th of
18	2005 at the Massey Building in the Criminal Investigations
19	Bureau.
20	Q And have you brought those prints with you
21	today?
22	A Yes, sir, I have.
23	MR. HORAN: I wonder if I could have those

	100
1	marked for identification as Commonwealth's Exhibit 45.
2	Your Honor, that's a full set of case prints, I don't know
3	whether Court wants to go A, B, C, D
4	THE COURT: I would because I want the record
5	to be clear if you ask about a particular one or Mr.
6	Sheldon is, I'd like the record to be clear what we're all
7	talking about.
8	So, Anne, why don't you just make it 41 for
9	the top one then make it 41
10	THE CLERK OF THE COURT: It's 45, Judge.
11	THE COURT: 45A, then 45 through whatever the
12	end of it would be.
13	THE CLERK OF THE COURT: 45N. Judge, do you
14	want me to hand these back to Mr. Horan or do you want me
15	to mark them first?
16	THE COURT: I'd like to have them marked.
17	(The Clerk complied with the request.)
18	(The photographs referred to
19	above were marked
20	Commonwealth's Exhibit
21	Nos. 45A through N, for
22	identification.)
23	

THE COURT: Anne, when you have the first 1 2 couple of them ready, why don't you hand those to Mr. 3 Horan and then we can have the others being marked as he's going through the examination, if that's okay with you, 4 5 Counsel. 6 MR. SHELDON: Your Honor, I haven't seen those 7 If I could take a look. yet. Absolutely, Mr. Sheldon. 8 THE COURT: what, let's do this. You haven't had a chance to take a 9 10 look at them yet, let's go ahead and take the afternoon 11 recess right now for fifteen minutes. That way we can 12 have everything marked, Mr. Sheldon, you can have a chance 13 to look at everything you need to look at, bring the jury 14 back in and we can move forward. 15 Folks, take fifteen minutes and Deputy, put 16 the fan on for the fifteen minutes, the jurors are out 17 because it's first time in three days it's getting to me 18 finally. Take fifteen minutes. 19 (Whereupon, at approximately 3:10 o'clock 20 p.m., the jury retired from the courtroom.) 21 (Recess.) THE COURT: Bring the Defendant back in, 22 23 please.

Your Honor, I spoken with Mr. 1 MR. LOPEZ: 2 Horan and he has informed me that this is his last witness on his case in chief and I don't know how long it will 3 take with it being 3:30, we have a witness on call that I 4 5 contacted and it would be a lengthy witness, Your Honor, I 6 don't believe we'd be able to get her on and off in 7 fifteen or twenty minutes. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 So I asked her to come tomorrow at MR. LOPEZ: 10 9:00 knowing that we would probably start at 9:30 or close 11 as possible, but I'll have her here --12 THE COURT: I have another 8:30 meeting 13 tomorrow morning that was scheduled before I was assigned 14 to do this case so I intend to start at 9:30 tomorrow, so 15 if we end a little bit early today -- how long do you 16 expect to be on direct examination with Mr. Reeves, Mr. 17 Horan? 18 MR. HORAN: I hope it's only about ten 19 minutes. 2.0 THE COURT: Okay. Well, if we end a little 21 bit early considering how hot it is in here, I'm not sure 22 that we're going to get too many complaints from them. 23 Mr. Lopez, how long would you anticipate the

defense case taking and you don't have to answer that 1 question, I'm just trying to get an idea. 2 If you think 3 you'd be giving something up, you don't have to answer the question. 4 Your Honor, I told Mr. Horan that 5 MR. LOPEZ: 6 I thought we'd be able to finish by 1:00 o'clock. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Now, the only other thing that I would then say to counsel, again, I'm not ordering 8 9 anybody to do anything, once you know basically what the evidence is going to be, if you could consider the 10 11 possibility of exchanging proposed instructions, to pull out duplicates, that might be of assistance, but if either 12 13 of you has any problem doing that, don't worry about it. 14 I wouldn't be upset at all for you to wait for 15 all the evidence is in before you exchange instructions. 16 Okay. 17 MR. LOPEZ: Very well. 18 THE COURT: All right. Let's bring the jury 19 in, Deputy. 20 MR. HORAN: Your Honor, just so you know, 21 we're going to share the instructions in the morning. Well, that's what I was hoping you 22 THE COURT: 23 would do if you were willing to do it and it wouldn't be a

192 1 problem, that would be great. If there's any way for the jury to get the 2 3 case tomorrow and have a reasonable period of time to deliberate tomorrow instead of until next Tuesday, I think 4 5 that's in everyone's best interest. 6 (Whereupon, at approximately 3:30 o'clock 7 p.m., the jury returned to the courtroom and resumed their seats in the jury box.) 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. Folks, all set. 10 Mr. Horan, you may continue with your 11 examination of the witness. BY MR. HORAN: 12 13 Mr. Reeves, I want to show you these documents 0 14 that have now been marked 45A through 45N for 15 identification and ask can you identify them? 16 Yes, sir. Α Yes. 17 Q Are those the prints you took from this 18 Defendant? 19 Α Yes, sir. How come there's so many of them? 20 0 Because these are call -- it's an FBI term we 21 Α 22 call a major case prints and it's my job as a fingerprint

examiner to capture in essence all the ridge detail that

23

occurs on the palm or surfaces of your hand.

2.1

Ridge detail begins up at the nail and goes down (indicating), as I'm indicating to the bottom of my wrist or to the bottom of my hand near my wrist, I should say, and ridge detail occurs at or around the edge of the nail and travels around to the other edge of the fingernail, and this area (indicating) they're called friction ridge.

Friction ridge only occurs on the hands and feet, and in order to capture all the ridge detail on Mr. Dowdy's hands, I took a number of sets of prints.

Q I want to show you Commonwealth's Exhibit
Number 27 in evidence and ask if you've ever seen that
before.

(Mr. Horan handed a photograph to the witness for his examination.)

- A I'm sorry, sir?
- Q Have you ever seen that before?
- A Yes, sir.
 - Q Where did you see it?

A I saw it in the -- in the crime scene bay unit across -- next door to the Adult Detention Center. It's a Fairfax County Crime Scene unit, they have a bay which

they process large items. 1 And what was the purpose of your going to look 2 3 at that? I was requested to go and examine by Detective 4 Α Bond to see if there were any -- for the presence -- for 5 6 the presence of fingerprints. 7 0 Okay. And did you look at that box to see whether there were? 8 9 Yes. sir. Α 10 Did you find any areas of the box that you 0 11 thought might produce some usable prints? There are a number of areas that produce 12 Α Yes. what, in my primary examination of what I thought was to 13 14 be identifiable ridge detail on various areas of this 15 door. 16 Okay. And were there some particular areas 17 that you were interested in? 18 Α Well, the first area that drew my attention 19 That was the most pronounce area. was Area D. Detective Netherton, I believe, indicated these as Areas 20 A, B, C, D and E, and Area A, B, D and E all had 21 22 identifiable ridge detail. Now, would you hold that up for the 23

	193
1	jury and show them where Area A is.
2	(The witness complied with the request.)
3	A Yes. Area A is is right where the end of
4	my pointer is (indicating) as I'm indicating here, about
5	an inch below the top of the photograph.
6	Q And how about Area D?
7	A Area D is right about here (indicating) where
8	my pointer is pointing.
9	Q I'm going to show you Commonwealth's Exhibit
10	Number 28 and ask if you identify that area.
11	A Yes. This is a this is a photograph, a
12	one-to-one photograph, of the area that on the door known
13	as Area D.
14	Q And is that the area you indicated looked like
15	a usable print?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Did that later turn out to be true?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q Did you find, as far as you were concerned,
20	sufficient ridge detail on that particular print?
21	A Yes, sir, I did.
22	Q You also indicated you looked at Area A. I'll
23	show you these three exhibits that are in evidence, 21, 22

and 23 and I ask you to look at those. 1 2 (Mr. Horan handed photographs to the witness 3 for his examination.) Α Yes, sir. 4 5 And is that the Area A you were talking about? 6 Α Yes. 7 Can you see the particular prints that you 0 thought might be usable on that exhibit? 8 9 On Area A there is one usable print. 10 print that has enough features to reflect positive 11 identification. 12 Did there come a time when you used the palm 13 print shown on D in Commonwealth Exhibit 28 and you 14 compare that to the prints you had taken of the left palm 15 of the Defendant? 16 Yes, sir. Α 17 0 And tell us what was the result of that examination? 18 19 A The result was that on the -- on the print 20 carrying -- one of the prints, there are three touches that I identify in those particular area, one area really 21 stood out. On Area D I compared to palm prints of Matthew 22 Dowdy and concurred that they were made by one in the same 23

197 1 person --2 MR. SHELDON: Objection, Your Honor. 3 approach? Obviously with Mr. Horan. THE COURT: Yes. 4 BENCH CONFERENCE 5 MR. SHELDON: Your Honor, I just want to 6 reserve my objection to the reliability of his application 7 of fingerprint signs until after the cross examination. 8 might not have a motion to exclude his opinion based on 9 his lack of reliability, but I'm objecting now to preserve 10 11 it for after cross examination. THE COURT: And the basis for the objection 12 13 now? 14 MR. SHELDON: Is Darwin Spencer, the reliability of his opinion. Of course, I don't know 15 16 without having gone through cross examination. 17 THE COURT: What you want to do is instead 18 going through an extended voir dire of the witness, what you're proposing to do is to allow Mr. Horan to complete 19 20 his direct examination, you then conduct your cross examination and at the conclusion of cross examination, if 21 you believe that you'd have a basis you're going to move 22

23

to strike the testimony?

	198
1	MR. SHELDON: Well, articulated.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Is that okay with you, Mr.
3	Horan?
4	MR. HORAN: That's fine.
5	THE COURT: That's fine with me too. Okay.
6	OPEN COURT
7	THE COURT: Subject to what was agreed at the
8	bend, you may continue, Mr. Horan.
9	BY MR. HORAN:
10	Q Area D on the photograph is of what area of
11	the hand?
12	A The area of the hand, Area D, is identified in
13	Area D as an area we call the thenar, T-H-E-N-A-R, that's
14	an area that surrounds the thumb below the crease, as I'm
15	indicating here on my left hand, as a left-hand print and
16	it travels the ridge area flows from this area
17	(indicating) below this crease down towards this crease
18	(indicating) that I'm indicating in the center of my hand
19	to near where it meets the wrist and around by the thumb
20	on this side (indicating).
21	Q So there was more than one area that you could
22	identify on Area D?
23	A Yes, sir.

	199
1	Q How many different locations could you find?
2	A There were three. There was an area in the
3	interdigital area that is directly under the fingers
4	approximately under the ring finger area and then there
5	was an area on the hypothenar on this (indicating) cushion
6	side of the palm, and they were consistent with three
7	different touches.
8	Q Okay. And you compared all three to the
9	prints you had taken off Matthew Dowdy?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q And it was your opinion they were the same?
12	A They were made by one in the same person, yes.
13	Q Was there any other area where you found
14	prints that you felt to be consistent with Matthew Dowdy?
15	A Area E, there were two touches and Area B, I
16	believe, there were two touches.
17	MR. SHELDON: Objection, Your Honor. I'm
18	going to have the same objection.
19	THE COURT: Okay. With the same position?
20	You want to handle it the same way, Mr.
21	Sheldon?
22	MR. SHELDON: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: That's okay with you, Mr. Horan?

[200
1	MR. HORAN: That's fine.
2	THE COURT: It's fine with the Court also for
3	the record.
4	BY MR. HORAN:
5	Q And how about Area A?
6	A Area A was the left ring finger of Mr.
7	Dowdy's. This would be the area finger number 9, we
8	call it, but it's the left ring finger as I'm indicating
9	here (indicating).
10	Q Mr. Reeves, did you do a blow up to be able to
11	show the jury the different areas where you find the
12	comparison to be made?
13	A I made one blow up of an area from Area D that
14	showed that thenar area that I was describing early.
15	Q And have you brought that with you?
16	A Yes, I did.
17	Q Can I see it.
18	(The witness handed a photograph to Mr. Horan
19	for his examination.)
20	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, while
21	they're doing that, you can bring bottles with you
22	tomorrow if you want. I just told the Deputy to give you
23	a pitcher of cold water that we'll leave at one end of the

jury box and that if somebody gets thirsty, we'll get you 1 thirteen cups, if you get thirsty during the course of the 2 day, you can tap somebody on the shoulder and you can get 3 some water. 4 I was hoping that when I went home last night 5 it was snowing and be okay. No such luck. 6 Your Honor, I ask this to be 7 MR. HORAN: marked Commonwealth's Exhibit 46 for identification. 8 (The photograph referred to 9 above was marked 10 Commonwealth's Exhibit 11 No. 46, for identification.) 12 13 BY MR. HORAN: I'm going to show you 46 and could you tell 14 15 the jury what that is. 16 (Mr. Horan handed a photograph to the witness for his examination.) 17 This is a photographic enlargement. The print 18 Α on the left is the ink print of Mr. Dowdy, of a palm print 19 of the thenar area I was describing of Mr. Dowdy near the 20 -- that surrounds the area of the left thumb. 21 The print on your left that's marked latent 22 print, that is a print from Area D on the door. 23

1 0 Now, you got ten numbers now on both the ink 2 print and the latent print, what are those numbers? Oh, these are numbers of -- this -- I prepared 3 this to illustrate some of the features in these prints 4 5 that are comparable to each other in both the latent print and the ink print. The features are corresponding 6 7 features of each print that I found in agreement. These aren't the only features that I found in 8 I made this simply to illustrate to the jury 9 agreement. 10 and to the Court, to all that was present, that these are the features that I -- to show you how I arrived at my 11 conclusions. 12 13 0 Now, are those ten, what you call, points? 14 You can call them points. Α Yes. 15 That's the FBI term, points? 0 16 Yeah. That's an FBI term. 17 Q So you have ten points, but can you estimate 18 how many other points you found? 19 Α At least thirty. Objection as to foundation. 20 MR. SHELDON: 21 don't know where he found the thirty points --22 Are you talking about thirty THE COURT: points on -- that's an enlargement of Commonwealth's 28, 23

	203
1	is it not?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	THE COURT: You talked about before for the
4	thenus (sic).
5	Are you saying that there was thirty points on
6	there or thirty points in
7	THE WITNESS: There are thirty points in both
8	images in agreement. A minimum of thirty points in
9	agreement on both images.
10	THE COURT: Are you saying both images of the
11	thenus?
12	THE WITNESS: Of the thenar.
13	THE COURT: Of the thenar, excuse me.
14	THE WITNESS: T-H-E-N-A-R.
15	THE COURT: I apologize. Okay.
16	So there are thirty points of comparison of
17	the thenar and the ink print of the accused in this case?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Does that take care of your
20	concern, Mr. Sheldon?
21	MR. SHELDON: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Horan, you may
23	continue.

	204		
1	BY MR. HORAN:		
2	Q And of those thirty, you only marked ten of		
3	them?		
4	A Yes.		
5	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, I wonder could Mr.		
6	Reeves come down in front of the jury and show them what		
7	he's talking about when he said he found points common on		
8	both of them.		
9	THE COURT: Yes. You may step down, sir,		
10	Mr. Sheldon, feel free to move over.		
11	(The witness complied with the request.)		
12	THE COURT: Mr. Horan and Mr. Sheldon, in		
13	light of the fact that it's an enlargement, under the		
14	circumstances I'm going to come and take a look also as he		
15	testifies.		
16	THE COURT: Anybody object to my standing here		
17	(indicating)?		
18	MR. HORAN: I don't know if it would do any		
19	good. I don't object. Go ahead.		
20	THE COURT: Your back is to the Court		
21	Reporter, so please make sure you keep your voice up,		
22	please.		
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.		

205 Can you hear me okay? 1 THE COURT: Can you open it up a little bit 2 3 wider so that they can see. (The witness complied with the request.) 4 BY MR. HORAN: 5 I'll tell you what, I'll hold it and you point 6 0 7 to it. Ladies and gentlemen, I made this Thank you. 8 Α chart, we don't do comparisons off the charts themselves, 9 10 we do comparisons on one-to-one images with fingerprint magnifiers of the variety magnifying glasses, but prepared 11 12 this chart to demonstrate to you how I arrived at my 13 conclusions. I would first like to draw your attention to 14 15 an area that I marked as Number 1. If you'll notice first of all, (inaudible) the ridge wall -- before I start with 16 Number 1 -- the ridge wall travels in the same direction 17 18 on both images, on both the ink print and on the latent 19 print. 20 This is, again, this is the area surrounding the Point Number 1 --21 thumb. THE COURT: Hold on for one second please. 22

Mr. Sheldon's having a little bit of difficulty.

23

going to have him stand next to you. 1 2 Does that block any members of the jury? 3 THE JURY: No. No. Okay. You may continue, sir. THE COURT: 4 THE WITNESS: Point Number 1, for example, is 5 a ridge that comes down from about 1:00 o'clock, if you're 6 7 looking at it in clock terms, and divides into two ridges. We call it a bifurcation. On the latent print, this 8 ridge, again, travels down from the 1:00 o'clock direction 9 10 and divides into two. 11 Again, over on the ink print, approximately an inch below and about one ridge over (inaudible) back there 12 13 called furrows and the -- over about one ridge there is 14 another ridge that flows and divides that is known as a 15 bifurcation, as well.

Like wise, approximately an inch below Number 1, the ridge, again, divides into two ridges here (indicating) and just below that, to the right, one, two ridges over and another inch below are identified an area here (indicating) as Number 3, one, two ridges over.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Over, for example, on the, your right and my left, I would like to draw your attention to this (indicating) Area Number 9. There's a ridge here

(indicating) that flows, again, from the 1:00 o'clock direction and divides into two.

2.0

Up from that towards the 12:00 o'clock direction, there is another ridge that also divides in the -- in the same direction on both of these prints. Now, I stated earlier that I did not mark every individual characteristic on here.

I would also like to draw your attention also, for example, a ridge that I did not mark for characteristics below the line here (indicating) indicating Number 4. Just to the right of this crease there is -- there are two ridges that are over under that are very short, it's about a quarter of inch below the line.

I would like to draw your attention over to this side (indicating). This is -- this white area here is a corresponding crease and two ridges over and a quarter of an inch below there's -- there's another over under type occurrence that occurs here (indicating).

There are numerous other ridges that I didn't identify that had led me to the conclusion that the print that I've identified as a latent print and the print identified as an ink print were made by one and the same

	208		
1	person, Mr. Matthew Dowdy.		
2	MR. SHELDON: Running objection.		
3	THE COURT: Can't give you a running		
4	objection, but you can simply say that you want to stand		
5	on the same objection and rationale that you mentioned at		
6	the bench. I assume there's no objection from Mr. Horan		
7	and it's fine with the Court for the record. Okay.		
8	BY MR. HORAN:		
9	Q Now, also you indicated that in the Area A		
10	shown on Commonwealth's Exhibit 22.		
11	A Yes, sir.		
12	Q Do you see that left ring finger on there		
13	where you identified a common print?		
14	A This would be (indicating), as I'm indicating.		
15	MR. HORAN: Can you see that from over there?		
16	THE JURY: (No response.)		
17	THE WITNESS: There's an outline, what appears		
18	to be, four fingers and none of the other three area		
19	indicated on this photograph are not identifiable. This		
20	area (indicating) is Number 9 for the left ring finger of		
21	Mr. Matthew Dowdy.		
22	BY MR. HORAN:		
23	Q Now, the prints that you		

	209		
1	MR. SHELDON: Objection, Your Honor. For the		
2	same reason.		
3	THE COURT: Okay.		
4	BY MR. HORAN:		
5	Q were treated with what they call amino		
6	black?		
7	A Yes, sir.		
8	Q Is that unusual that you would have prints		
9	that have been treated that way?		
10	A Amino black is it's a dye stain technique		
11	used to that reacts with proteins in blood and helps		
12	make semi-visible prints that are produced in blood		
13	visible.		
14	Q Okay. Does the amino black distort the		
15	fingerprint at all?		
16	A No, it does not.		
17	Q The particular print here (indicating) in Area		
18	D and Area A, did you find those prints to be, what they		
19	call, blurry?		
20	A No, sir. Not the ones that I identified.		
21	Q In the particular prints that were put on that		
22	door, do you have an opinion as to whether or not those		
23	prints could have already been on the door and somebody		

1	came along with a bare hand and just put the print you			
2	found on there?			
3	A No, sir. Not in my opinion.			
4	Q Why is that?			
5	A Because the ridges the substance appeared			
6	to be produced by the ridges on the hand. The ridges are			
7	raised portions of the skin and these ridges are sort of			
8	like a stamp that you would see at the post office or bank			
9	or here at the courthouse even, where the substance is			
10	transferred from a stamp pad over onto a piece of paper,			
11	and the letters on the stamp are raised letters.			
12	Well, the ridges on your hand are also raised			
13	as well, and this, in my opinion, the prints already had			
14	the substance on them when they were placed upon the door.			
15	MR. HORAN: I have no further questions of			
16	this witness, Your Honor.			
17	THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon, do you want the			
18	exhibits?			
19	Do you want to use those for cross?			
20	MR. SHELDON: I will use some of them for			
21	cross.			
22	THE COURT: Okay. If you'd just leave them			
23	with Mr. Sheldon, if you would please.			

1 MR. SHELDON: Court's indulgence. It will 2 take me just a minute. 3 THE COURT: Yes, sir. (Pause.) 4 Your Honor, I would offer that 5 MR. HORAN: 6 chart in evidence as Commonwealth's 46. 7 THE COURT: Any objection? MR. SHELDON: Yes, I would object, Your Honor. 8 9 My objection is based on several grounds. Friend on 10 evidence says that illustrative evidence should not be 11 admitted if it is -- if it could result in confusion, 12 distortion or prejudice. This examiner did not use this 13 chart to make his identification and he never would, he 14 testified to that. 15 He testified that he would use a one-to-one 16 comparison and use a magnifying glass. The chart is 17 prejudicial because as we saw from Commonwealth's 27, 18 there isn't much friction ridge detail on Commonwealth's 19 27, and this chart would focus the jury on a tiny portion 20 of D. Whereas, there was a lot of ridge detail to 21 22 look at and there's pictures of all of the ridge detail. The third reason is that the chart likely included 23

1 distortions and that's why they use magnifying glasses and 2 they do not use blow ups to look at -- to make a 3 comparison. Well, did the witness testify THE COURT: 4 5 about using a magnifying glass for that one? Yes, he did. He testified MR. SHELDON: Yes. 6 that he took pictures, he has varying degrees of 7 magnifying glasses he said, and he uses those to make --8 9 and that was why you were moving down here and he was 10 standing. 11 THE COURT: Okay. That may be why I missed 12 it. 13 And another reason, Your Honor, MR. SHELDON: 14 is I haven't had the same amount of time as the expert or 15 opposing counsel to look at this chart. I had to look at 16 it here in Court. It was not shared with me prior to this 17 hearing. Mr. Horan, do you want to 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 respond? 20 MR. HORAN: Your Honor, a long time ago we gave them all of this information upon disk. Everything 21 except the chart, 46, because that's what the expert 22 prepared to bring to Court. They have all these prints. 23

I personally delivered most of them to their office.

1.4

They've had the chance to look them all over, they've had a chance to look at all the pictures, they have them all, A, B, D, E. They have all of that material. This is strictly a chart to aide the jury in seeing how the process works.

Now, the fact that in his office he's doing it with magnifying glasses doesn't change the method that he has used to impart that information to the jury.

THE COURT: Okay, sir. The objection's overruled. This is not just an demonstrative aide as that would be defined under Virginia Law, Mr. Sheldon, because it directly relates to the specific evidence involved in this case rather than it just being something such as anatomy that might be shown to the jury to understand the anatomy or as there might be an injury in the case.

As far as the last basis for your opinion -excuse me, for your objection, if you want to, I'll let
you have access to this overnight and then if there's
something else that you need to bring up or something of
that nature as a result of examining this specific law,
you can let Mr. Horan know and the witness will be back
tomorrow and I'll give you further opportunity to ask him

[•••	214
1	questions	if you want to .
2		MR. SHELDON: Thank you.
3		THE COURT: So there isn't any possible
4	prejudice,	but the objection to its admissibility is
5	overruled.	
6		(The photograph previously
7		marked as Commonwealth's
8		Exhibit No. 46, for
9		identification, was received
10		in evidence.)
11		CROSS EXAMINATION
12		BY MR. SHELDON:
13	Q	To be clear, the Fairfax Police Department has
14	their own	latent print examination; is that right?
15	А	I'm sorry.
16	Q	The Fairfax Police Department has their own
17	latent pri	int examination unit?
18	А	I still didn't hear your question.
19	Q	You work for the Fairfax Police?
20	А	Yes, sir.
21	Q	They have their own latent print examination
22	unit?	
23	· A	Yes.

		216
1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	I'm going to ask you whether you recognize as
3	standard au	thority several treaties.
4		You testified that you went to the Advanced
5	Latent Fing	gerprint School?
6	А	Yes, sir.
7	Q	Did you receive a publication from that school
8	when you we	ent, FBI Advanced Latent Fingerprint school?
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	Would you recognize that as a standard
11	authority i	n the field of fingerprint?
12	A	One of them.
13		THE COURT: Did you say one of them, sir?
14		THE WITNESS: One of those, yes.
15		BY MR. SHELDON:
16	Q	Henry Lee's Crime Scene Handbook, Henry Lee is
17	probably th	ne most recognized crime scene experts; is that
18	right?	
19	А	Well, he's well recognized.
20	Q	And his treaties on crime scenes including on
21	latent prir	t collection is recognized as authoritative in
22	the field?	
23	A	One of them.

	217
1	Q You're familiar with the scientific working
2	group on friction ridge analysis in study and technology?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q It goes by the acronym Swig Fast?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q And that is an FBI working group that includes
7	experts in latent analysis from many agencies; is that
8	right?
9	A That's correct. Yes.
10	Q You're a member of the International
11	Association of Identification?
12	A Yes.
13	Q All right. And the Swig Fast guidelines,
14	that's the FBI guidelines from the working group, they're
15	available on the International Association of
16	Identification website; right?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q All right. And you'd recognize those
19	guidelines as authoritative in the field of
20	fingerprinting?
21	A I recognize them as guidelines, as overall
22	guidelines as recommendations, not A, B, C specific.
23	Q Right. They're not they can't be enforced
	1

l		218
1	by any ager	acy, can they, they be guidelines?
2	А	No, sir.
3	Q	They're recommendations just like these
4	this book a	and this manual cannot be enforced on any
5	agency.	
6		They're just treaties for publications with
7	advice?	
8	А	Yes, sir.
9	Q	But the guidelines in the same way that these
10	are authori	tative, the guidelines are authoritative on
11	latent prir	t examination?
12	А	Yes, sir.
13	Q	The fourth authority is the Science of
14	Fingerprint	s by the FBI?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	It's suggested reading material by the
17	Internation	al Association of Identification?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	And, of course, you're a member of that and
20	this is cor	sidered authoritative also?
21	А	One of them.
22	Q	The fifth one I want to ask you about is the
23	Department	of Forensic Science, it use to be called the

		219
1	Division of	Forensic Science.
2		It serves all state and local law enforcement
3	agencies in	Virginia; isn't that right?
4	A	To my knowledge, yes.
5	Q	Fairfax is one of the only departments in
6	Virginia th	at does most fingerprints in-house; isn't that
7	right?	
8	А	I can't attest to that, sir.
9	Q	You know that the Department of Forensic
10	Science is	nationally credited?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	All right. By the American Society of Crime
13	Lab Directi	ves?
14	A	That's correct.
15	Q	And the Department of Forensic Science, you
16	know, emplo	ys latent print examiners?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And its publications on latent print
19	identificat	ion are authoritative in Virginia?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	You've already testified that latent prints
22	are differe	nt from known prints; right?
23	A	Well, I did not say that. I said latent I
	i	

	220
1	don't believe I said that.
2	Latent prints are different than known prints?
3	Q Yes. A latent print
4	A being different.
5	Q A latent print is a fragment of a print; isn't
6	that right?
7	A Often, yes.
ω/	Q Let me just read to you, "Long time assignment
9	to fingerprint duties alone does not necessarily breed an
10	expert. There must be continuous comparison of
11	fragmentary impressions, observation of peculiarities and
12	variations and thoughtful considerations and problems
13	associated with the field."
14	That refers to latent prints; isn't that
15	right?
16	A In that context.
17	Q Right. So latent prints are different from
18	known prints.
19	A known print is a print that a fingerprint
20	identification expert took?
21	A Excuse me, sir?
22	Q A known why don't you describe what a known
23	print is.

A known print is a print that's preproduced in Α 1 2 a -- such as a rolling, deliberate action taken by a police officer or booking agent or -- or a fingerprint 3 examiner onto -- and transfer it onto a contrasting 4 surface such as a fingerprint card. 5 I guess that's the best way to describe it. 6 7 And what is a latent print? 0 A latent print is a print that is latent by 8 that terminology means hidden or not readily visible and a 9 latent print is made visible by either (unintelligible) or 10 development of powders or chemicals or some other type of 11. technique or sophisticated photography, and preserve with 12 photography generally or lifted with lift tape and 13 transferred onto the contrasting surface such as a latent 14 15 lift card. So a challenge with a latent print that occurs 16 17 in your work would be deciding when you have enough of the latent print to evaluate it; is that true? 18 Yes, sir. 19 Α And when you work with known prints, that is 20 0 not part of your work, is that true? 21 I work with both, sir. 22 Α

That's not my question.

23

0

When you work with known prints, that is not 1 2 part of your work, is it, to identify whether you have enough of an image to make a match? 3 It's not the same work as working with latent 4 5 prints. Α The concept, sir, is still the same. You're 6 7 looking for identifiable ridge detail in known prints if you just have the luxury of having all the fingerprints 8 there to compare it to the previous record of the person 9 10 that exist, and those as the same concept, you're looking at an individual ridges, bifurcation, delta areas that 11 occur in each of these fingers and see if whether or not 12 13 they are in agreement. 14 Does somebody that only works with known Q 15 prints have the judgement and ability to determine when 16 they have enough of a fingerprint latent --17 Your Honor, I object on the MR. HORAN: grounds that that's irrelevant. 18 Mr. Sheldon. 19 THE COURT: I'll withdraw the question. 20 MR. SHELDON: THE COURT: Ouestion's withdrawn. 21 BY MR. SHELDON: 22 The field of latent print evaluation is a 23 Q

1	223
1	science?
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q A forensic science?
4	A Yes, sir.
5	Q The fingerprint section that you work in uses
6	scientific equipment?
7	A No. We we basically we use magnifying
8	glasses and we use computers.
9	Q And which building do you work in?
10	A What's that?
11	Q Which building do you work in?
12	A I work in the Massey Building.
13	Q All right. There is scientific method in
14	lifting, evaluating and identifying latent prints; is that
15	true?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q I want to start now by asking about your level
18	of confidence in your identification of the latents to Mr.
19	Dowdy's ink print.
20	In this case, are you a hundred percent
21	certain that Mr. Dowdy left the prints?
22	A Yes, sir.
23	Q Is there any possibility you could be wrong?

			77 A
1	A	No, sir.	224
			2
2	Q	There's no possibility you could have made	a
3	mistake?		
4	А	No, sir.	
5	Q	In every case in which you've identified a	
6	latent prin	t to an ink print, have you been a hundred	
7	percent cer	tain?	
8	A	Yes, sir.	
9	Q	You've never had any doubt?	
10	A	No, sir.	
11	Q	Would you call your error rate zero?	
12	А	Yes, sir.	
13	Q	Do you calculate an error rate?	
14	А	I'm not sure I understand your questions.	
15	Q	You call your error rate zero?	
16	A	Yes, sir.	
17	Q	Did you calculate that zero?	
18	A	The error rate, as I understand it, is that	t
19	the amount	from the science that no two people have eve	er
20	been found	to have the same fingerprints and that has	so
21	far been di	sproved, and that's as I understand it, and	
22	that error	rate when positive identification reflective	е
23	it's not in	error.	

1		225
1	Q	Did you calculate your error rate?
2	А	I have I've never been I've never made a
3	misidentific	cation, sir.
4	Q	Have you calculated your error rate?
5	А	No. I guess not.
6	Q	No. The answer's no.
7		You don't have a method
8		MR. HORAN: I object to comment by counsel
9		THE COURT: I sustain the objection to the
10	comment. Le	et's keep the lawyers to the questions, the
11	witnesses to	the answers and let's move forward, please.
12		BY MR. SHELDON:
13	Q	You are always right, you testified, because
14	of the metho	od you use for latent print evaluation; is that
15	right?	
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	Q	But that doesn't mean mistakes aren't made
18	using the me	ethod that you use?
19	А	I suppose there are mistakes made. I have
20	heard of mis	stakes being made.
21	Q	You have heard of mistakes, you're aware of
22	latent print	dentification mistakes?
23	A	Yes, sir.

ſ		227
1	Q	On the 2nd Floor?
2	А	Yes, sir.
3	Q	And you were administered an oath?
4	А	Uh-huh.
5	Q	You were administered an oath.
6		THE COURT: You have to say yes or no, please.
7		THE WITNESS: Yes.
8		THE COURT: Thank you.
9		BY MR. SHELDON:
10	Q	The same oath as today?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And you agreed to tell the truth then of
13	course?	
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And you were asked questions by the
16	prosecutor?	
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And you were asked questions by Mr. Lopez?
19	А	Yes, sir.
20	Q	And at that point you testified that you could
21	find thirted	en points?
22	А	It was not on that same area. I found
	l	ints in another area, in Area D.

l	250
1	areas and he's not identifying
2	THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon, you said latent area
3	latent print D is what I think you said.
4	Is that what you mean the latent print D or
5	did you mean Area D?
6	MR. SHELDON: On Commonwealth's 27
7	THE COURT: Okay. Then ask him more specific
8	question because I think the way that you asked it is not
9	consistent with the evidence in the case unless I've
10	completely misunderstood something so why don't you
11	rephrase your question.
12	MR. SHELDON: Could we have Commonwealth's 28?
13	THE CLERK OF THE COURT: I think you still
14	have it.
15	THE COURT: You may still have that, Mr.
16	Sheldon.
17	THE CLERK OF THE COURT: Mr. Horan had that.
18	THE COURT: You can approach the witness, sir.
19	BY MR. SHELDON:
20	Q When I met with you on December 21st, the
21	purpose was for me to ask you questions about this case;
22	isn't that right?
23	A That is correct.

I object to the form of the 1 MR. HORAN: I don't want this jury to believe that he's 2 auestion. there to take his deposition. This was a discovery --3 Well, Mr. Horan, I don't want THE COURT: 4 5 speeches being made by either side. The legal basis for the objection --6 The legal basis is that he is 7 MR. HORAN: asking him a question that is prefaced with counsel's 8 belief that you're required to answer him and he wasn't. 9 He could have told him I don't want to talk to you. 10 Well, Mr. Horan, on redirect 11 THE COURT: examination you can ask him whatever you want to set what 12 13 you believe to be the appropriate context, but I don't believe that the last question was objectionable and it's 14 15 overruled. 16 BY MR. SHELDON: When we met, I asked you if you had anything 0 17 in writing about your evaluation; is that right? 18 I think you asked me that. 19 Α Yes. And you took out a one page report? 20 0 21 Α Yes, sir. And after that, you took out one photograph; 22 0 it was the same photograph that you testified with at the 23

preliminary hearing, the one that you have in your hands; 1 2 isn't that right? 3 Yes, sir. Α You had that photograph. And I asked you, in 4 Q 5 that photograph how many points of comparison did you make? 6 I don't think you asked me how many were in 7 Α that photograph, as I recall, sir. That's not my 8 9 recollection. 10 You responded to me that there were twelve 0 11 points? I responded to you that I got at least twelve 12 Α in a particular area on what I identified as digital area. 13 I also informed you that there were three different 14 15 touches on this photograph. That there was numerous 16 touches all over and I identified the -- I told you that 17 there were several touches throughout this -- in those 18 photographs. There use to be standards on the number of 19 0 20 points of comparison; isn't that right? 21 I'm sorry, what? Α There use to be standards, a standard number 22 used before a latent print examiner reached a judgement of 23

1 a match?

- A Some agencies have standards.
- Q When you worked at the FBI, was there a standard number used?
 - A Not that I recall.
- Q When you worked at the Illinois State Police, was there a standard number used?
 - A No.
- Q So a judgement is made that a latent matches a known or an ink print when a latent print examiner says so based on their training and experience as a latent print examiner?
 - A Primarily, yes.
- Q I'd like to ask you about written standards for conducting a latent print evaluation. I'm going to ask you some questions from the FBI guidelines, that's the Swig Fast guidelines. Again, I'm asking you about written standards and I'm going to read to you from the FBI guidelines on corrective actions.

"The agency is responsible for writing and enforcing policy to handle errors. When preparing written policy governing errors, a variety of corrective actions should be included. The corrective actions should be

ı	234
1	appropriate to the level of the error and the skill level
2	of the examiner and the circumstances."
3	Do you agree that that statement that an
4	agency that does latent examination should have a
5	corrective actions policy in writing?
6	A May I see the standards?
7	MR. SHELDON: May I approach with this, Your
8	Honor?
9	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
10	(Mr. Sheldon handed a document to the witness
11	for his examination.)
12	BY MR. SHELDON:
13	Q Two point four.
14	A Your Honor, this is under the heading of the
15	Quality Assurance Guidelines section of latent printer
16	examiners; if that's what it says. That is correct.
17	Q And you'd agree with that?
18	Does Fairfax have
19	THE COURT: Well, is that a question, you'd
20	agree with that?
21	Did you answer the question, sir?
22	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
23	THE COURT: Would you agree with that?

	235
1	THE WITNESS: In general, yes.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	BY MR. SHELDON:
4	Q Does Fairfax have such written guidelines?
5	A I'm not sure.
6	Q Have you seen such written guidelines?
7	A No. I have not, sir.
8	Q I'm going to read to you from the same
9	portion, Number 3.
10	"The quality manual must be maintained. The
11	quality manual must contain documentation of all
12	significant aspects of friction ridge impression
13	development and examination procedures."
14	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, I object. Going
15	through what are the administrative guidelines
16	THE COURT: Okay. Again, Mr. Horan, I just
17	want to know the legal basis for the objection.
18	MR. HORAN: Because it's irrelevant
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. HORAN: what administrative
21	requirements of the FBI are.
22	THE COURT: Come up to the bench for a moment,
23	please.

BENCH CONFERENCE

1.1

THE COURT: I just don't want the jury to be swayed (inaudible).

MR. SHELDON: Probably about forty minutes.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm sending the jury home.

Let's take -- send them home, we can spend a few minutes

now on the issue, otherwise, this is something we're going

to have to take up before we get them going tomorrow.

You're entitled to cross examine.

He's recognized certain things to be authoritative sources, not the sole authoritative sources, but some authoritative sources, and you're entitled to cross examine him about things in those authoritative sources that may be inconsistent what he's testified to on direct examination.

Let me send them home and then we'll talk about a couple things. Okay.

OPEN COURT

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're not going to finish this witness during the course of the afternoon today. There are certain things I have to take up with counsel outside of your hearing. Instead of sending you back to the jury room, I got a better idea.

I'm going to send you home. Same admonition, folks. Don't discuss anything with anyone. How about 9:15 tomorrow morning, downstairs. I have another one of my 8:30 meetings. I hope to be done by 9:15. If I'm not done by 9:15, I will be done by 9:30.

If I'm not done 9:30, I'll be done by 9:30 anyway and I'll be on the bench at 9:30. Just meet the deputy downstairs in the jury assembly hall. When all of you are here -- Renee, I'm going to be in the 4th Floor conference room, I believe, so somebody should just come and get me when everybody's ready to go, and I'll come back on the bench.

Same admonition, folks. Don't discuss anything about this case with anyone, allow anyone else to discuss it in your presence. As of now, I believe that we're in this courtroom again tomorrow, if something changes I'm sure the Deputy will bring you up to the other room.

Have a nice evening, folks. We'll see you then.

(Whereupon, at approximately 4:25 o'clock p.m., the jury retired from the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Renee, do you need me to leave the

	238
1	bench for a few minutes to escort them down?
2	THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Sorry, Judge?
3	THE COURT: Do you need me to leave the bench
4	at this point?
5	THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: No.
6	THE COURT: Okay. One deputy's okay? You
7	sure?
8	THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Yep.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: I'm not I'm
11	just going to send them out.
12	Is that okay?
13	THE COURT: I'd like you to take them down the
14	back.
15	THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Oh, then yes.
16	You need to
17	THE COURT: I'm going to leave the bench for a
18	few minutes and then gosh, it's about time for me to
19	go. I do want to take some guidance to both sides about
20	where I think the line needs to be drawn pursuant to
21	questions that are being asked so that it may aide both of
22	you in knowing where we go.
23	Mr. Sheldon, what do you want to do with

1	(inaudible)?
2	Do you want to take it with you tonight?
3	MR. SHELDON: If Mr. Horan doesn't object.
4	THE COURT: Well, it's not up to him, it's up
5	to me.
6	MR. SHELDON: Yes, I do.
7	THE COURT: Okay. As long as it doesn't leave
8	your hands, you can take it home tonight. You make sure
9	that it's back here tomorrow morning. Obviously, you can
10	share it with Mr. Lopez, but I don't want it going to
11	somebody else.
12	It's yours, it's suppose to be with the Clerk,
13	but based upon your representation that you haven't seen
14	it before, I'm going to give you an opportunity to look
15	into it to the extent that you may deem advisable. Just
16	make sure it's returned up to Ms. Nichols first thing
17	tomorrow morning.
18	Give her five minutes to take people
19	downstairs or see if we can get somebody else to do it.
20	THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Nobody's
21	available.
22	THE COURT: Nobody's available. Okay. Just
23	take them down the back and you can let them out through

that entrance. Okay. We'll take five minutes, Counsel. 1 2 (Recess.) 3 THE COURT: Okay. Can we bring the Defendant back in the courtroom, please. 4 MR. SHELDON: Mr. Reeves is still in the 5 6 courtroom and I don't think we gave an instruction about 7 talking about his testimony and since there's a rule on witnesses also. 8 Well, that's true. 9 THE COURT: 10 Mr. Reeves, there's a rule on witnesses throughout the entirety of the trial. You're still on the 11 witness stand, so don't discuss your testimony or anything 12 else about the case with anyone until you're done with 13 14 your testimony. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. And then if you're 16 17 subject to recall, even then you only should discuss it at that point with the three attorneys involved in the case 18 until the case is over. Okay. I don't have the time, nor 19 should I, go step by step by step through where we are. 20 Mr. Horan's objection, if I understood it, was 21 that he believes that you're taking what appeared to be 22

internal, whatever they may be, regulations operating

23

procedures of the Federal Bureau of Investigation and make it seem as if they are standard or mandatory or even advisory for other people in the field, so that if it is not being done, then somebody's violating the requirements set out in authoritative sources.

That's what his objection is. If he's right, then the objection is probably well taken, so whatever you're going to utilize because you can utilize authoritative sources if they're inconsistent with what the witness has testified to under direct examination or for purposes of attempting to impeach him.

Just make sure that by the questions there isn't an implication left with the jury and I'm not saying that there was with what you're doing right now, Mr. Sheldon, because I don't know one way or the other, but there shouldn't be an implication that something is something other than what it is, and with the questions, I urge you to re-examine your questions to make sure that you're within that line.

Then, can I see counsel at the bench real quick for one moment please about something else.

MR. SHELDON: I have two points on what you just said.

THE COURT: Well, let's -- come up to the bench for a quick moment, please. Obviously, this is not intended -- your client can come up, Mr. Lopez can come up or remain seated as they deem appropriate.

BENCH CONFERENCE

THE COURT: You can take that (inaudible), Mr. Sheldon, but what I wanted to say is, part of the disadvantage of going to an interview, I don't know if somebody was with you or not, is an attorney puts him or herself potentially in an equitable box and you've got to be very careful not to make yourself a witness in the case.

More importantly, at this stage, you've got to be careful not to be testifying to the jury based upon the manner in which you ask questions about what happened during a meeting that you attended, and so you need to be careful about the phrasing of the questions to make sure it isn't John Sheldon testifying to this jury saying, this is what happened at the meeting, isn't it? where the jury's going to be able to perceive it as if you're testifying when Mr. Horan can't cross examine you and ethically you can't be testifying if it's a controverted matter.

MR. SHELDON: Right.

THE COURT: So take a look at the way that you're phrasing those questions. That doesn't mean that you're precluded from asking questions about what happened. Just make sure that the questions are appropriately phrased in a manner where it is not going to be reasonably perceived by the jury that John Sheldon is testifying by means of the questions that he's asking.

Okay. So that's just another line to draw.

You may step back. I want to do that up here, so I didn't potentially embarrass you.

OPEN COURT

make those two brief points because, again, I'm trying to give some guidance because there's another forty minutes of cross examination and then whatever re-direct. I don't want to be making advisory rulings, which I'm not suppose to be making, and I surely don't want the record to look like I'm making rulings that are binding based upon questions that I have not yet heard because that would not be appropriate, but if you want some further guidance, Mr. Sheldon, you can ask me a question or two and I'll determine whether it would or would not be appropriate to

answer.

MR. SHELDON: All right. Generally, what I'm doing is I'm testing the knowledge of the expert. That's one of the things I'm doing, but these questions about the written guidelines, that's different and I will go back with the witness tomorrow and have him explain what a working group is and that it's guidelines for everybody.

THE COURT: Well, if it is, Mr. Sheldon, then you can ask whatever questions you may deem appropriate.

Mr. Horan can make whatever objections he may want to make and then let me just to say counsel, as I did at the beginning of the trial, I don't want there to be speaking objections and I don't want there to be arguments being presented by any of the attorneys involved in the case.

If you have an objection, I want you to stand, I want the first word to be objection and then simply give me the legal basis for the objection. Relevancy, hearsay, authenticity, whatever it may be. There have been times during the course of this trial where I thought about the objection, I wasn't a hundred percent sure what the basis was.

I remember a couple times I asked Mr. Lopez to articulate further, so I could totally understand the

basis for his objection. If I don't understand it, that's 1 what I'll do, but I don't want anybody making speeches to 2 the jury in the guise of making objections. 3 It's not an appropriate thing to do and it's 4 something I've been very careful to make sure that it 5 doesn't happen. Okay. Have a nice evening. 6 7 everyone? MR. LOPEZ: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9:30 we'll start tomorrow. 9 Everyone should be seated and ready to go at that time. 10 11 Chief Judge McWeeny said that we will be here again. 12 (Whereupon, at approximately 4:44 o'clock p.m, 13 the hearing in the above-entitled matter was recessed to 14 15 reconvene at 9:30 o'clock a.m. the next day.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

* * * *

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Lisa B. Pulling, Verbatim Reporter, do
hereby certify that I took the stenographic notes of the
foregoing proceedings which I thereafter reduced to
typewriting; that the foregoing is a true record of said
proceedings; that I am neither counsel for, related to,
nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which
these proceedings were held; and, further, that I am not a
relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed
by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise
interested in the outcome of the action.

Lisa B. Pulling Verbatim Reporter

	2
1	sponsors scientific working groups to improve forensic
2	science practices and build consensus among international,
3	federal, state and local forensic community partners.
4	Would you agree with that?
5	A May I see that, please.
6	MR. SHELDON: May I
7	THE COURT: You may approach.
8	(Mr. Sheldon handed a document to the Witness
9	for his examination.)
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	BY MR. SHELDON:
12	Q Each scientific working group has a form of
13	structure and functions in accordance with its bylaws.
14	Membership is at the discretion of the chair of the
15	working group and most scientific working groups include
16	
17	MR. HORAN: I object on the grounds that its
18	not a question. He is simply reading a policy statement
19	of this
20	THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon?
21	MR. SHELDON: I am doing two things. One, is
22	I'm just giving background, but I'm, one, testing his
23	knowledge and by reading a statement from something that

he has recognized as an authority and I'm going to ask him 1 2 whether he agrees or disagrees. Okay. Well, if you want to do 3 THE COURT: that, the latter, then I want you to preface your question 4 with you're going to read something, whatever it may be, 5 6 and I want to ask you if you agree or disagree with this, but it also needs to be done in a manner that's consistent 7 with the discussion that we had after the jury went home 8 9 yesterday. You may proceed, sir. 10 Okay. BY MR. SHELDON: 11 Mr. Reeves, I'm going to read to you from the 12 0 same document, the FBI lab publication on scientific 13 working groups. 14 15 Do you agree or disagree that scientific 16 working groups serve as a common voice for the scientific 17 discipline? Α Generally. 18 19 Do you agree or disagree that scientific 0 20 working group members come together to discuss issues of 21 concern and reach consensus on documents drafted 22 throughout the year?

Could you repeat the question, please.

23

Α

	·
1	Q Do you agree or disagree that scientific
2	working group members come together to discuss issues of
3	concern and reach consensus on documents drafted
4	throughout the year?
5	A I've never been to one of these, so I assume
6	what they do that that is correct.
7	Q And one more question on this.
8	Do you agree or disagree from the same
9	document that these documents, that's the guidelines,
10	provide crime labs a solid basis for operational
11	requirements?
12	A Generally speaking, yes.
13	Q And now I'm going to read to you from the
14	Swigfast Update and ask you whether you agree or disagree
15	that its mission is to assist the latent print community
16	in providing the best service and product to the criminal
17	justice system?
18	MR. HORAN: I object on the grounds of
19	relevance.
20	THE COURT: What's the relevancy of whether
21	that particular organization has that as a principle by
22	which that organization is right?
23	MR. SHELDON: This witness has recognized the

guidelines that are authoritative in the field and this is to clarify that the field -- that the latent print community -- the entire latent print community, not just the FBI.

THE COURT: Okay. I sustain the objection to that question.

BY MR. SHELDON:

Q Yesterday when we left off, I was asking you about written standards for conducting a latent print evaluation and I asked you about the quality assurance guidelines and now, I'm going to ask you -- I'm going to read to you from the Quality Assurance Guidelines for Latent Print Examiners.

Guideline 2.2.6, do you agree or disagree that an agency that conducts latent print examinations should have written methods of procedures for friction ridge examination including documentation and report that?

MR. HORAN: Your Honor, I object to the form of the question, A, on the grounds of relevance and, B, on the grounds that members of this group aren't here to be cross examined as to their views on the subject, and it's unfair to ask this officer to either defend or accept the standards of some group that's not here.

	9
1	THE COURT: Okay. I overrule the objection to
2	that question.
3	THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the question?
4	BY MR. SHELDON:
5	Q I'm reading to you from Swigfast Quality
6	Assurance Guidelines for Latent Print Examiners.
7	Do you agree or disagree that agencies that do
8	latent print examination should have methods of procedures
9	for a friction ridge examination including documentation
10	and report writing?
11	A Again, may I impose on you to look?
12	THE COURT: You may approach the Witness.
13	(Mr. Sheldon, handed a document to the Witness
14	for his examination.)
15	BY MR. SHELDON:
16	Q I'm reading 2.2.6.
17	A I'm sorry, 2.2 what?
18	Q 2.2.6.
19	A Could you repeat that again, sir?
20	Q You may read 2.2.6, if you would.
21	A I apologize.
22	May I impose on you again to point out
23	specifically on which page you're talking here?

ı		10
1		THE COURT: You can approach him and show it
2	to him, Mr.	Sheldon.
3		BY MR. SHELDON:
4	Q	(Inaudible.)
5	A	Okay. I apologize. I'm sorry.
6		And you're question is, do I agree with that
7	statement?	
8	Q	Yes.
9	A	I would say so, yes.
10	Q	And do you have such written documentation in
11	Fairfax?	
12	А	We have an SOP, but I'm not sure that it
13	addresses th	nat particular issue.
14	Q	And did you follow that SOP in the evaluation
15	you did in t	this case?
16	A	I followed the general practices of the latent
17	fingerprint	community, sir.
18	Q	Did you follow that SOP in the evaluation you
19	did in this	case?
20	A	I'm not sure that our SOP covers that specific
21	area, sir.	
22	Q	Did you know the exist of the SOP when you did
23	the evaluat	ion in this case?

7	A	No, I did not.
1		
2	Q	So you did not follow the SOP when you did the
3	evaluation	in this case?
4	А	I
5		MR. HORAN: I think that's been asked and
6	answered.	
7		THE COURT: Sustained.
8		BY MR. SHELDON:
9	Q	I'm going to read to you one more from the
10	Swigfast Qu	ality Assurance Guidelines and just below that,
11	Number 2.2.	13. Written procedures shall be maintained for
12	method vali	dation records.
13		Do you agree or disagree with that?
14	A	What exactly are method and validation
15	records?	
16	Q	Okay.
17	A	I'm asking you I can't
18	Q	You don't know what they are?
19	A	Not in this particular context, no.
20	Q	Do you know whether Fairfax has method
21	validation	records?
22	A	It's my understanding they probably do not.
23	Q	You did not follow any written guidelines when

you evaluated the latents in this case; is that right? 1 Your Honor, I object. 2 MR. HORAN: question's been asked and answered. 3 I don't know if there's any THE COURT: 4 difference between written guidelines and standard 5 operating procedures. If there aren't, then the Witness 6 7 can tell us that. If there are, then the objection would be overruled. 8 THE WITNESS: Well, Your Honor, these are 9 general guidelines, not custom tailored to any particular 10 11 operation including ours. SOP's are a product that says you will do it this way and not deviate from that 12 13 procedure. We follow a generally accepted practice that 14 is accepted throughout the latent fingerprint community. 15 That's the only way I can address that issue. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sheldon. BY MR. SHELDON: 18 19 Why are written standards necessary? Q 20 THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon, hold on for one Can I see counsel at the bench for a quick 21 second. moment. 22 BENCH CONFERENCE 23

THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon, are the two Afro-1 American women seated in the rear of the courtroom 2 3 witnesses? Yes, they are. MR. SHELDON: No. 4 Before we started I looked and they weren't 5 there, so they must have come in --6 Well, let's -- (inaudible) 7 THE COURT: Okav. -- pointed it to my attention. I think you need to ask 8 them to step outside and then we'll deal with whatever 9 objection Mr. Horan may have if they heard something that 10 11 affects their testimony. I just wanted to bring it to your attention. 12 13 OPEN COURT Okay. Mr. Sheldon, you may 14 THE COURT: 15 continue. BY MR. SHELDON: 16 17 Why are written standards necessary? 0 Written standards may be necessary in some 18 Α organizations where there's either a large number of 19 20 employees, where there are multi-disciplines within that organization. We are a very small entity of four 21 examiners with a cumulative total of over a 120 years of 22 23 experience between us.

r		14
1		We evaluate each other's work meticulously.
2	Q	Do you agree that written standards help
3	insure that	a method isn't unnecessarily varying when you
4	apply it?	
5	А	I'm sorry, I can't hear your question.
6	Q	Do you agree that written standards help
7	insure a met	thod isn't unnecessarily varied each time it's
8	applied?	
9	A	I'm hard of hearing, I'm very sorry.
10	Q	Do you agree that written standards help
11	insure a met	thod isn't unnecessarily varied each time it's
12	applied?	
13	А	That's possible, yes.
14	Q	Written standards help prevent taking
15	shortcuts wi	ith a method?
16	A	I don't know that written standards prevent
17	anything, s	ir.
18	Q	Written standards help prevent taking
19	shortcuts w	ith a method?
20	A	Possibly.
21	Q	Written standards help insure that everyone is
22	doing the me	ethod in the same way?
23	A	Possibly.
	I	

The Department of Forensic Science has written 1 0 2 standards? Α Yes, they --3 I object on the grounds of MR. HORAN: 4 5 relevance. THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon? 6 He's recognized the Department 7 MR. SHELDON: of Forensic Science as an authority and their written 8 9 guidelines as an authority. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Come on up to the bench for a moment, please, Counsel. 11 BENCH CONFERENCE 12 I sustain the objection to the THE COURT: 13 You can ask him questions that relate to last question. 14 procedures or principles that should be followed in 15 16 general terms based upon what he has accepted to be 17 authoritative sources yesterday. You cannot ask him to comment or to affirm 18 specific procedures that have to do with other local 19 organizations that really do not have a direct impact on 20 what he did under the circumstances of this case. Just 21 22 because the FBI or Division of Forensic Science may have certain procedures, doesn't mean that Fairfax has to have

23

procedures.

More importantly, it does nothing to impeach the testimony of this particular witness. You're going to be able to come forward to show him the evidence that something that he did in this particular case is questionable or incomplete overall based upon his failure to do what these (inaudible) -- that you're utilizing say should be done.

Not just what he's done within his organization, but what should be done to assure the quality of work that this witness has testified, he presented under the circumstances of this case.

MR. SHELDON: My only exception is that, I believe because he's recognized this standard and because they have guidelines, that it does go to the weight of his opinion --

THE COURT: He hasn't recognized them as standard, he's recognized them as --

MR. SHELDON: Standard authorities.

THE COURT: -- authorities, so that if there's

-- you asked the question Mr. Horan objected to before

that said some (inaudible) -- should do A, B, C, Mr. Horan

objected, I overruled that objection, but that's a

principle that a lab should do this and not that any particular lab has its own internal procedures to do it, but a statement in a recognized (inaudible) -- that somebody should do this.

Where the line is being drawn by me, will continue to be drawn by me, is what should be done versus what may specifically be done in an individual lab that really has no relationship to the work that he did under the circumstances of this case.

What I'm really saying, Mr. Sheldon, is I'm not going to let you do an end-run attack on the Fairfax Police Department's internal procedures without it having something to do or you're showing me that it has something to do with his work in this case and that there may have been errors arising out of his work in this case based upon his failure to do things that these sources say should be done by anyone (inaudible) -- their organization --

MR. SHELDON: And that's what I'll get to eventually.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's where I draw the line. The last question was a question related to a specific procedure for some other organization and I

_	
1	sustain Mr. Horan's objection.
2	OPEN COURT
3	THE COURT: I sustain the objection for the
4	last question.
5	BY MR. SHELDON:
6	Q You're familiar with the American Society of
7	Crime Lab Directors Lab Accreditation Board, aren't you?
8	A Familiar, no. Do I know of them? Yes.
9	Q You worked at the Illinois State Police?
10	A I did.
11	Q At what division there?
12	A At that time it was under the Division of
13	Forensic Science and but we were not a part we were
14	not our section was not accredited. My particular
15	section was not, and
16	Q Is the Fairfax Police Department as
17	accredited?
18	A No, sir.
19	Q Do you know does ASCLD accredit latent print
20	examination labs?
21	A Yes, they do.
22	Q Now, I would like to talk to you about your
23	evaluation of the latent print, recovered in this case,

r		19
1	with the in	c print you took from Mr. Dowdy.
2		Did Detective Netherton give you pictures of
3	the latent p	print; isn't that correct?
4	А	Yes, he did.
5	Q	And you took an ink print from Mr. Dowdy?
6	А	Yes, sir.
7	Q	You knew that Mr. Dowdy was the main suspect?
8	А	Yes, sir.
9	Q	And you knew that this was a circumstantial
10	evidence ca:	se?
11	A	I'm not an attorney skilled at circumstantial
12	evidence, s	ir.
13	Q	Let me ask you about bias.
14		You're aware of the concept in scientific
15	(inaudible)	called expectation bias?
16	A	No.
17	Q	You've never heard of expectation bias?
18	A	No.
19	Q	Have you heard of the phenomenon where basic
20	observation	s may be biased towards what an observer
21	expects to	see?
22	A	That can happen.
23	Q	It's unintentional?
	i	

		20
1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And it's not about cheating, it's about well
3	intentioned	scientist seeing what they expect to see?
4	A	I didn't expect to see anything.
5	Q	I haven't asked you yet about what you've
6	done. Just	what expectation bias is.
7	А	Your question, again, sir.
8	Q	Honest and careful scientists may
9	subconscious	sly observe what they expect to see?
10	A	That's possible.
11	Q	So scientific studies are designed to reduce
12	expectation	bias?
13		MR. HORAN: I object. Scientific study,
14	whoever it	is, is not here to be cross examined.
15		THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon?
16		MR. SHELDON: I think he can answer if he does
17	know.	
18		THE COURT: Okay. I sustain the objection to
19	that questic	on.
20		BY MR. SHELDON:
21	Q	Considering the circumstances of your
22	evaluation :	in this case, would it be fair to say that
23	expectation	bias could exist?

7	70	21 No.
1	А	No.
2	Q	You could have guarded against expectation
3	bias in thi	s case, couldn't you?
4	A	Your question again, sir.
5	Q	You could have guarded against expectation
6	bias in thi	s case?
7	A	I am guarded against that, sir.
8	Q	When you compared Mr. Dowdy's ink print with
9	the latent :	print, you knew that ink print was Mr. Dowdy's?
10	A	No, I did not. Not when until I completed
11	a thorough	and comprehensive examination of the two
12	impressions	•
13	Q	I think you're misunderstanding the question.
14	I'm asking	about the ink print.
15	A	The ink print?
16	Q	When you did your evaluation you were in your
17	office?	
18	A	I did the evaluation between the latent and
19	the ink pri	nt together.
20	Q	In your office?
21	A	In my office.
22	Q	You had the latent print on your desk?
23	A	A photograph of the latent print.

	22
1	Q You had the inked print on your desk?
2	A I did.
3	Q The inked print was labeled with Mr. Dowdy's
4	name?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Isn't it true that twice before in this case
7	you failed to be able to point out points or
8	characteristics between the ink print and the latent
9	print; Mr Dowdy's ink print and the latent print?
10	A Excuse me, sir?
11	Q Isn't it true that in this case, twice before
12	you were asked to point out characteristics in the latent
13	print that matched Mr. Dowdy's ink print and you failed to
14	do that?
15	A I don't recall that being true at all, sir.
16	Q Would it refresh your recollection if I showed
17	you a transcript of your testimony at the preliminary
18	hearing on December 20th of 2005?
19	A Sure.
20	THE COURT: You can hand it to the Deputy, Mr.
21	Sheldon, and if there are certain pages, you can let the
22	Witness know what pages you want him to take a look at.
23	(The Deputy handed a document to the Witness

1	23
1	for his examination.)
2	BY MR. SHELDON:
3	Q Please, turn to Page 64 and read to yourself
4	from Line 8 to Line to the second line on top of Page
5	65.
6	(The Witness complied with the request.)
7	A And your question is, sir?
8	Q Isn't it true that at the preliminary hearing
9	on December 20th, 2005 Mr. Lopez, counsel for Mr. Dowdy,
10	asked you three times to point out similarities between
11	the latent and Mr. Dowdy's ink print and you failed to do
12	that?
13	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, I object to that
14	question because counsel has deliberately misstated what
15	was said at the preliminary hearing.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Come up to the bench,
17	Counsel. Can I see the transcript, please.
18	MR. SHELDON: Yes.
19	BENCH CONFERENCE
20	THE COURT: Are you relying for the question
21	on this part of Page 64 what you were referring to?
22	MR. SHELDON: Right and then it ends on 65
23	when he's asked a third time what (inaudible)

	24
1	THE COURT: 64, I got 64 from you Mr. Sheldon,
2	is there something else besides this part of 64 that I
3	need to look at to see the predicate question?
4	MR. SHELDON: No. Those are the three times.
5	THE COURT: Three times. One, two, three
6	here.
7	MR. SHELDON: Yes. We asked him (inaudible)
8	and he didn't do it. We asked the same question
9	(inaudible)
10	THE COURT: The question that you asked you
11	can ask him the questions and you can relate back well,
12	you haven't specifically asked him about the preliminary.
13	You wanted to show this to him, but the question you asked
14	him left the impression that he was that he did not
15	have to do something and he did not identify (inaudible)
16	
17	MR. SHELDON: That's right.
18	THE COURT: Okay. What this does is say that
19	he wasn't prepared at the time of the preliminary hearing
20	to answer a specific question about (inaudible)
21	MR. SHELDON: Would that be his answer to
22	that? I wasn't prepared and that's why I didn't do it?
23	THE COURT: You can ask him, if you want to

impeach him with a prior inconsistent statement, because 1 2 this is arguable inconsistent what he testified to under 3 direct examination. It is. It is like you said. MR. SHELDON: 4 (Inaudible) -- then you 5 THE COURT: Okay. should ask him and what he testified to -- whether he was 6 asked this at the preliminary hearing and whether he 8 answered this way at the preliminary hearing. 9 MR. SHELDON: So you --THE COURT: Watch out, Mr. Sheldon. You don't 10 necessarily have to do it that way under Virginia 11 Appellate precedent. However, the impression I got from 12 13 your question was that he didn't do it, he couldn't do it, not that at the time that he testified --14 That's exactly --15 MR. SHELDON: 16 THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon, hear me out. 17 MR. SHELDON: Okav. THE COURT: Okay. Not that he was unprepared 18 to do it at a preliminary hearing, but the question 19 implied that it went beyond an ability to do it during the 20 course of the testimony at the preliminary hearing, and as 21 a result of that, Mr. Horan is saying that it was 22

misleading the way it was phrased has some validity as

23

far as the Court's concerned to Mr. Horan's objection here.

You can ask him about his specific testimony at the preliminary hearing if you want, you can reference the preliminary hearing, you can ask him the specific questions that Mr. Lopez asked him at the preliminary hearing, you can ask him why he was unable to do that, if you want to ask him that, but I sustain the objection to the last question based upon the way that it was raised.

MR. SHELDON: Do you strike the part of the objection that said it was deliberate; that I deliberately mislead?

THE COURT: Well, I said to everybody at the end of the day yesterday that I don't want any of you to be making speeches to the jury.

Mr. Horan, I don't want you making speeches to the jury through objections.

Mr. Sheldon, I'm not going to start saying anything to the jury one way or the other now because that will be perceived as I'm taking sides between the two of you. I have ruled, I felt that the question was an improper question, Mr. Horan should not have done what he did but I'm not going to start making comments to the jury

1 and it may be perceived as being on your side or Mr. 2 Horan's side. 3 I want you to rephrase the question. Just for the record. I object to 4 MR. HORAN: the Court's comments about what I did because he did 5 6 deliberately misstate what went on at the preliminary. 7 Just as you noted every -- he was never asked (inaudible) 8 (Inaudible) -- the jury 9 That was never asked. to believe that that's what he did. 10 11 THE COURT: Mr. Horan, I agree with you, which 12 is why I've sustained your objection, but as I told 13 counsel on multiple occasions early on in this trial, I do 14 not want speeches being made to the jury in support of 15 your perspective positions and there was no reason to say 16 that he deliberately misrepresented something. 17 You could simply have said that the question 18 is not consistent with what is stated in the transcript and that you object because it is potentially misleading 19 20 and that would have stated the position that you wanted 21 that -- eventually agreed with. Now, you can step back, gentlemen. 22

OPEN COURT

23

THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon, you may continue 1 consistent with my ruling. 2 3 BY MR. SHELDON: At the preliminary hearing on December 20th, 4 2005, you were asked by counsel to Mr. Dowdy whether you 5 cared to elaborate on the thirteen characteristics that 6 7 you said that you found and what was your answer? Your Honor, can we have which page 8 MR. HORAN: and which line counsel is now talking about. 9 10 THE COURT: Counsel, can you supply that for 11 Mr. Horan. MR. SHELDON: As I said at the beginning, 64. 12 13 THE COURT: Page 64, Mr. Horan. 14 MR. SHELDON: Starting at Line 8. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Starting at Line 8. want Mr. Horan to be able to follow if Mr. Horan were to 16 17 ask questions. I wanted page numbers also, so you can 18 All right. follow along too. BY MR. SHELDON: 19 Page 64, Line 8. Counsel for Mr. Dowdy asked, 20 Q are you prepared today to elaborate on those thirteen 21 characteristics and isn't it true that you were not 22 23 prepared?

	29
1	A That's not true.
2	Q Would you read the answer, Page 64, Lines 12
3	to 14.
4	A I said, well, it is a combination of ridges
5	and bifurcations. How many times, I can't tell you off
6	the top of my head. I didn't chart and he interrupted me
7	at that point. Mr. Horan did not have me prepare a chart
8	at that time.
9	Q Mr. Lopez asked you, Page 64, Line 9, where
10	were the characteristics found.
11	MR. HORAN: 64, Line 9?
12	MR. SHELDON: Page 64, Line 9.
13	BY MR. SHELDON:
14	Q Where they were found, referring to the
15	characteristics.
16	Did you answer that question; did you state
17	where they were found?
18	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, I object. That's an
19	asked and answered in the prior answer. That's exactly
20	the response that this witness gave at preliminary
21	hearing.
22	THE COURT: I overrule the objection.
23	THE WITNESS: And the question again, sir?

1 BY MR. SHELDON:

Q Page 64, Line 9, counsel for Mr. Dowdy asked you at the preliminary hearing about the characteristics, where they were found.

Did you answer that question?

A I did earlier in the direct, I believe. As I recall, I indicated earlier that -- that those thirteen characteristics are found at that particular one that I was attesting to was an area under the inter-digital area of the left hand known as Area D, and I explained also, I believe, that there were three touches at that time.

There were more than one touch, I believe.

I'm not sure exactly what I said at that point, but I explained earlier in the direct that there — those — that particular thirteen that I was referring to was an area under the finger and I also explain that in this venue also.

- Q In this venue when you explained that you pointed out the specific identifying characteristics; isn't that right?
- A I pointed out specific identifying characteristics of another area, Area D.
 - Q And in that preliminary hearing you did not

	31
1	point out specific identifying characteristics; isn't that
2	correct?
3	A I didn't have a chart to point them out, sir.
4	Q Who prepared the chart for today?
5	A I did.
6	Q Let me refer your attention to the December
7	21st, 2006 meeting between counsel for Mr. Dowdy and
8	yourself where two of your supervisors were present.
9	At that meeting, were you asked to point out
10	identifying characteristics of latent D?
11	A Would you repeat that again, please.
12	Q I'm referring to the meeting of December 21st,
13	2006.
14	A Yes.
15	Q Less than three weeks ago.
16	A Yes.
17	Q You had a meeting with counsel for Mr. Dowdy.
18	A That's you.
19	Q That's right. And at that meeting you were
20	asked, were you not, to point out
21	MR. HORAN: I object to counsel testifying.
22	We talked about it yesterday.
23	THE COURT: Okay. I understand your

1		32
1	objection, l	
2		Rephrase your question, sir.
3		MR. SHELDON: May I have the Court's
4	indulgence?	
5		THE COURT: Yes, sir.
6		(Pause.)
7		BY MR. SHELDON:
8	Q	December 21st, 2006 you were asked to point
9	out identif	ying characteristics of a latent print in this
10	case and yo	u didn't do that; isn't that correct?
11	A	That's not how I recall that meeting, sir.
12	Q	Did there come a time in this case where you
13	evaluated J	aimie Coate's known print with a latent?
14		Jaimie Coate's the victim in this case.
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	When did you do that?
17	A	I compared it to the photographs of the prints
18	on the t	he prints on the door A, B, C and D of those
19	photographs	. I compared hers to those.
20	Q	When did you do that?
21	A	In October of 2005.
22	Q	On what day did you do that?
23	A	I don't recall off the top of my head. I

think it was on -- it was sometime during the week of the 1 2 4th or 5th of October, during that time frame. 3 specific as I can be. Did you take notes from that evaluation? 4 0 5 Α No, sir. Did you write a report of that evaluation? 6 7 Α Not of specific to that examination alone. Ι wrote a summary report, a supplemental report, saying that 8 -- where I indicated that I compared both Mr. Dowdy's 9 prints and Ms. Coate's prints. 10 Was it a detailed written report? 11 It's a report that -- a standard report that 12 Α 13 we -- that we write. 14 On October 5th, you compared Mr. Dowdy's inked 15 print with the latent print? There's several latent prints here. 16 Α 17 Did you compare Jaimie Coate's known print 18 before or after you did Mr. Dowdy's? We -- I compared -- I did one comparison prior 19 Α to that before the processing of amino black that we 20 identified. We had one photograph that had some 21 identifying ridge detail and I did a comparison on those 22 to that particular of what we could see in that -- what I 23

could see in that and -- and they were not made by Ms. 1 2 Coate. My question was, did you evaluate Jaimie 3 0 Coate's known print to the latent before or after your 4 October 5th comparison of Mr. Dowdy's inked print? 5 Α I think I conducted -- I can't recall exactly 6 the sequence of events on --7 Do you have notes? 8 -- who I compared it with. I initially -- I 9 Α made an identification to Mr. Dowdy, then when all these 10 photographs were completed, I compared all the -- all the 11 identifiable latent touches on that door to both Mr. Dowdy 12 13 and Ms. Coate. 14 Which one did you do first, Mr. Dowdy with the 0 ink print that you collected on October 5th or the victim, 15 Jaimie Coate? 16 17 Α I don't recall, sir. I'm going to ask you about elimination prints. 18 It's not your job to collect elimination 19 20 prints; isn't that right? 21 Α Excuse me? Is it your job to collect elimination prints? 22 Q When necessary. 23 Α

0 You know what they are then, of course? 1 Okav. 2 Α Yes. I'm going to read to you from A Science of 3 0 Fingerprints. Do you agree or disagree with this 4 5 "Following the location of any latent prints at the scene of a crime, the prints of all persons whose 6 7 presence at the place under inspection has been for legitimate purposes should be excluded from further 8 9 attention. 10 "It is advisable, therefore, during the initial stages of an investigation where latent prints are 11 12 found to secure the ink prints of all members of the 13 household, employees, police or other officials who may 14 have touched the objects." 15 Generally, if possible. Α 16 I'm going to read to you from the FBI's 17 Advanced Latent Fingerprint school, Page 159: "All latent 18 impressions after they have been developed should be 19 photographed with a fingerprint camera whenever possible 20 and then lifted with a special lifting tape." I'm going to ask you whether you agree or 21 disagree with the next paragraph: "The latent impression 22 should then be compared with the fingerprints of persons 23

ĺ	36
1	who had a legitimate reason for being at a particular
2	crime scene, so that their impressions can be eliminated."
3	Do you agree or disagree with that?
4	A May I see that, again, please.
5	Q I'm going to put Page 159 on top and there are
6	no numberings.
7	MR. SHELDON: Would it be all right with the
8	Court if I draw a line along the sentence, so that he can
9	find it easier?
10	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
11	(Mr. Sheldon handed a document to the Witness
12	for his examination.)
13	THE WITNESS: And your question, sir, again?
14	BY MR. SHELDON:
15	Q Do you agree or disagree with that statement?
16	A Within the context of the article or the
17	section I agree.
18	Q Would you take a close look at that statement,
19	above it and below it, and would you state whether there
20	are any qualifications to that at all.
21	(The Witness complied with the request.)
22	A And your question again, sir?
23	Q Are there any qualifications to that statement

1	that elimination prints should be compared with a latent?
2	Does it say anywhere there I'm sorry. I'm
3	going to give you a chance to answer.
4	A On the in this particular case
5	Q Does it say anywhere in there any
6	qualifications to the advice to compare all elimination
7	prints with the latent?
8	That's the only question I'm asking right now.
9	A I'm not sure I understand your question.
10	Q Take your time and read the whole paragraph,
11	above and below.
12	Are there any situations in which you should
13	not do that in that document?
14	Does that document tell you don't do that in
15	certain situations?
16	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, I object for reason
17	that when one reads this document it deals with a question
18	generally as opposed to this specific case.
19	THE COURT: Okay. That objection's overruled,
20	but I think the point's been made, so I'd really like to
21	move on, but that's up to you. I overrule the objection
22	for the last
23	MR. SHELDON: I just want to get an answer

	38
1	from the Witness and then I'll move on.
2	THE WITNESS: Generally, yes.
3	BY MR. SHELDON:
4	Q Yes, there are qualifications in that?
5	A Generally first of all
6	MR. SHELDON: Your Honor, I'll move on.
7	THE COURT: Okay, sir.
8	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I totally follow
9	your point of the question.
10	BY MR. SHELDON:
11	Q Were you aware in this case that the people
12	having access to the Verizon box in Commonwealth's 27 were
13	four landscapers from L and H Landscaping, five, possibly
14	five people from the fire unit, five police officers, two
15	medical examiners and two detectives; were you aware of
16	that?
17	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, I object to that.
18	That's not the evidence.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sheldon, is that in
20	evidence?
21	MR. SHELDON: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Is that in evidence at this time
23	in this trial?

1 MR. SHELDON: Yes, Your Honor. I made that 2 list as people testified. 3 MR. HORAN: (Inaudible.) 4 THE COURT: Then you can --5 MR. SHELDON: That list was generated during 6 testimony in this case. 7 Your Honor, the testimony in this MR. HORAN: case so far is that none of them were inside the tape once 8 9 Mr. Sheldon, you can phrase it if 10 THE COURT: 11 you want to phrase it in a hypothetical provided that you 12 content that based on the evidence involved in the case, 13 but I sustain the objection to the question as it was 14 phrased. 15 BY MR. SHELDON: 16 Q You're aware of a situation, are you not, 17 where a suspect was matched to a latent print and later 18 the latent was determined to belong to an elimination 19 print? 20 Α Excuse me? 21 Are you aware of a case in which a suspect was 22 matched to a latent print and later it was determined a mistake was made and the latent matched an elimination 23

	40
1	print?
2	A I'm not sure the case you're referring to.
3	Q The McKie case.
4	A Huh?
5	Q You're familiar with the McKie, -C-K-I-E?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Right, sir.
8	A I have some familiarity with it. I followed
9	it loosely over the years.
10	Q Isn't it true that a suspect was matched to
11	the latent and after that the latent was matched to an
12	elimination print.
13	MR. HORAN: I object on grounds of relevance.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. HORAN: Primarily on
16	THE COURT: Come on up for one last time
17	hopefully counsel.
18	BENCH CONFERENCE
19	THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon, what's the relevance
20	of what may have happened in the McKie case?
21	He has conceited upon your questioning that
22	there's something called elimination prints and that the
23	(inaudible) that he's accepted as authoritative talks

about a need for such prints to be done or such an analysis to be done.

What relevancy is there to an individual case with other people that he had nothing to do with as to a mistake allegedly having been made, even if in fact a mistake was made?

Aren't you attempting to impeach him through

-- it's as if you were attempting to impeach him if it was
a statement by the prior inconsistent statement of
somebody else, you're attempting to impeach him by
somebody else who's alleged sloppy work?

MR. SHELDON: Do we have re-cross -- are we allowed re-cross after redirect because I anticipate what he's going to say --

THE COURT: If Mr. Horan opens up a door -MR. SHELDON: That the elimination prints were

THE COURT: Hold on for a second, Mr. Sheldon. If Mr. Horan asks a question on redirect examination that raises something that you could not have reasonably anticipated you needed to cross examine about during your initial cross examination, you can ask me (inaudible) -- to re-cross and if there's something that's brought up on

any redirect examination, I believe, in fairness you are 1 2 to be able to re-cross, I'm going to allow you to re-3 cross. MR. SHELDON: Because I anticipate in Great. 4 5 fairness, I can anticipate, that he's going to answer on redirect. 6 THE COURT: Well, then, if that's what the 7 situation is, then that's an issue you can address with me 8 9 by asking for relief to allow re-cross, but I'm not going to let you prolong this based upon what you anticipate may 10 11 happen because it may not happen. In which case we're going through more than we 12 need to necessarily go through. Okay. At this point I 13 14 sustain the objection for the last question. OPEN COURT 15 16 I sustain the objection for the THE COURT: last question. 17 18 BY MR. SHELDON: 19 In this case you were not given any elimination prints other than the victim's to compare to 20 the latent; is that correct? 21 That is correct. 22 Α Let me get back to your evaluation of Mr. 23 0

	43
1	Dowdy's ink print to the latent in this case.
2	You did the evaluation in your office; is that
3	right?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And on your desk you had the inked print from
6	Mr. Dowdy and you had the pictures of the latent prints;
7	is that right?
8	A Yes.
9	Q You do the comparison by putting them side to
10	side?
11	Do you have magnifying glasses?
12	A Yes.
13	Q How many magnifying glasses do you?
14	A I have two or three.
15	Q Could you tell me what the magnification is of
16	those magnifying glasses.
17	A Two of them are four about approximately
18	four and a half power magnification and the other one is
19	approximately six power magnification, and I have another
20	one that I use occasionally, but I'm not sure what the
21	magnification level is on that.
22	Q Okay. So you got you put the pictures side
23	by side, you evaluate it, the latent prints with Mr.

		44
1	Dowdy's inke	ed print at your desk?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And you had five latents?
4		Five pictures of latent prints on your desk;
5	is that righ	nt?
6	А	Yeah, I believe so.
7	Q	How long did that evaluation take?
8	А	Several days.
9	Q	So you evaluated those five latents against
10	Mr. Dowdy's	inked print for how many days?
11	A	I don't recall, sir. It was more than one
12	day.	
13	Q	More than one day and you don't know how many
14	days?	
15	A	No, sir.
16	Q	You didn't take any notes?
17	A	No, sir.
18	Q	On the first day you didn't take any notes at
19	all during	the evaluation?
20	A	No, sir.
21	Q	The second day, did you take any notes at all
22	during the	evaluation?
23		THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon, I think he said he
	1	

		45
1	didn't take	notes, if I understood him correctly.
2		MR. SHELDON: Okay.
3		BY MR. SHELDON:
4	Q	After the evaluation, did you take any notes?
5	А	No.
6	Q	And what days did this evaluation take place
7	on?	
8	А	The week of, I believe, it's the first week of
9	October in 2	2005. It was over a period of days.
10	Q	When you were done with the evaluation, after
11	several days	s, did you write a report as soon as you were
12	done?	
13	А	I don't recall if it was as soon as I was
14	done. I dio	d write a report.
15	Q	Did you write it within a few days of doing
16	the evaluati	ion?
17	А	I began my report within a few days. I
18	finished my	report, let's see, a while later.
19	Q	More than a month later?
20	A	About, yes.
21	Q	And your report does not include any
22	identifying	points or characteristics; isn't that right?
23	A	No. That's true.

	40
1	Q Your report simply states that Mr. Dowdy's ink
2	print matches the latent prints?
3	A Yes.
4	Q It doesn't say anything else about the
5	evaluation or the match?
6	A No. I said there also in that report that
7	there are no latent prints of identification value that
8	remained unidentified. That every latent print that could
9	be identified, was identified.
10	MR. SHELDON: Court's indulgence for just a
11	moment.
12	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
13	BY MR. SHELDON:
14	Q So the evaluation that you made on October 5th
15	and for several days afterwards, you cannot reproduce the
16	same identifying characteristics; is that right?
17	A Excuse me?
18	Q On October 5th and for several days
19	afterwards, you compared Mr. Dowdy's ink print to five
20	photographs of latent prints?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Do you have any recollection of that
23	evaluation of which identifying characteristics you used?

	47
1	A I used when I compared these
2	characteristics, when I found enough detail in agreement
3	to achieve individualization
4	Q Let me ask this
5	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, the Witness is allowed
6	to answer the question
7	THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon?
8	MR. SHELDON: He was his answer was non-
9	responsive to the question.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Ask your next question, Mr.
11	Sheldon.
12	Have you completed your response to his
13	specific question, Mr. Reeves?
14	Not comment further, have you completed your
15	response to his last specific question?
16	THE WITNESS: I don't think so, sir.
17	THE COURT: Finish your answer.
18	THE WITNESS: When I I conclude, I compare
19	features of fingerprints, Your Honor, from the latent
20	print in question to known fingerprints. When I find
21	enough ridge detail in agreement in a unit relationship to
22	achieve individualization to exclude any and all other
23	donors, possible donors, I am satisfied, in my mind, that

	48
1	these two prints were made by one and the same person.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Your next question, sir.
3	MR. SHELDON: Your Honor, I would ask the
4	Court to strike that answer as it is completely
5	unresponsive to the question. The question was can I
6	restate the question?
7	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
8	MR. SHELDON: Do you remember which points of
9	identification you used when you made the evaluation?
10	THE COURT: Mr. Court Reporter, give me the
11	exact question, please.
12	THE COURT REPORTER: It will take a few
13	minutes.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Take your time. Okay.
15	You can stand up for a moment.
16	MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, would it be possible
17	to take a short break at this point?
18	THE COURT REPORTER: That would be helpful,
19	Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: A few minutes, Mr. Sheldon?
21	MR. SHELDON: Three or four minutes.
22	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to
23	take a five-minute recess. Counsel (inaudible) I'll

(A O
1	send you back to the jury room. Make yourself
2	comfortable.
3	(Whereupon, at approximately 11:00 o'clock
4	a.m., the jury retired from the courtroom.)
5	(Recess.)
6	THE COURT: Okay. We all set. Let's bring
7	the Defendant back into the courtroom, please. Okay.
8	Let's bring the jury in, please.
9	(Whereupon, at approximately 11:05 o'clock
10	a.m., the jury returned to the courtroom and resumed their
11	seats in the jury box.)
12	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sheldon, you may
13	continue.
14	THE COURT REPORTER: Did you want the last
15	question?
16	THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. Can I have that
17	last question.
18	THE COURT REPORTER: "Do you have any
19	recollection from that evaluation of which identifying
20	characteristics you used."
21	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Horan, do you want to
22	be heard?
23	Did you hear what the question was?

MR. HORAN: No, Your Honor. I already made my 1 2 objection. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And I strike the latter portion of the witness's answer that was given after I 4 5 asked him to complete what he thought was the answer to 6 the last question as it was not responsive. Mr. Horan can 7 ask what he wants on redirect examination. Mr. Sheldon, you may continue. 8 Okav. 9 BY MR. SHELDON: Your October 5th evaluation of Mr. Dowdy's ink 10 11 print and the latent print, you identified approximately 12 thirteen points of identification; isn't that right? 13 Α On one of the prints. But you don't know which individual 14 15 characteristics of the points you used; isn't that 16 correct? 17 Α I utilized the entire group that were 18 available to me at the time. I stopped counting at 19 thirteen. So you couldn't tell me which the first point 20 0 of identification was that you used in your evaluation? 21 22 Α No. You couldn't tell which the second was? 23 Q

	51
1	A It's not necessary, sir.
2	Q So you don't know what order you made the
3	points of identification?
4	A No, sir.
5	Q You don't know what points you used?
6	A The points that were present.
7	Q You didn't measure the size of the area that
8	you used for evaluation?
9	A I believe there was a scale in the photograph,
10	but I didn't I know that it was approximately one to
11	one.
12	Q You didn't measure the size of the area on the
13	latent that you used for evaluation?
14	A No. I did not measure it.
15	Q There was no scientific basis for the amount
16	of the latent print that you looked at and I'm talking
17	about size?
18	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, I object to that
19	question on the grounds of relevance.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon, what's the relevance?
21	MR. SHELDON: It's relevant to his opinion of
22	whether there was match, is there a scientific basis for
23	how much of a latent print he looks at. I don't know

why he's looking at a small sample. 1 2 THE COURT: I overrule the objection. He can answer the question. 3 BY MR. SHELDON: 4 There was no scientific basis for the size of 5 the area that you looked at in the latent to compare to 6 7 the ink print; isn't that right? I'm not sure what you mean by scientific basis 8 for the size of the area that you're talking -- that we're 9 10 talking about. I'm not sure I follow your question and 11 the concept. You didn't measure, for example, the lengths 12 13 of the individual ridges that make up the pattern; right? I observed the length of the ridges, I 14 Α observed the structure of the ridges, I observed the 15 16 various nuances of the ridges. 17 You didn't measure the individual ridges that make up the pattern; isn't that right? 18 19 By measure with a ruler? Α That's correct. 20 Q We don't do that. 21 Do you know at what point you concluded that 22 the latent print is Mr. Dowdy's? 23

1	A When I was satisfied in my mind that there was
2	sufficient detail in agreement to individualize Mr.
3	Dowdy's prints with the latent print to Mr. Dowdy's print.
4	When I concluded in my mind can I tell you exactly what
5	minute, no I cannot tell you exactly what minute.
6	Q So there was no scientific reason to stop it
7	at thirteen identifying points?
8	A No, sir.
9	Q And it was simply, you made up in your mind,
10	that it was enough; is that right?
11	If I mischaracterized let me know.
12	A When I'm satisfied in my mind. I have to
13	prove it to myself first.
14	Q So it's a judgement call that you make?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Not based on any standards?
17	A It's based upon the standard that there has to
18	be sufficient uniqueness to individualize Mr. Dowdy's
19	prints.
20	Q Now, what standard did you use for knowing
21	when there's enough uniqueness to individualize?
22	A When I'm satisfied in my mind and I believe
23	that another competent examiner would arrive at the same

-	34
1	conclusion.
2	Q In your chart that you used in your testimony
3	in direct, there are ten points or characteristics that
4	you have identified?
5	A Uh-huh.
6	Q For each of
7	THE COURT: You have to say yes or no, please.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	BY MR. SHELDON:
10	Q I'm trying to make this quick, so I'm trying
11	to ask the question in one big question.
12	For each of those ten features, rather than me
13	going through each one, you don't know how many people
14	have each feature like the one pointed out in your chart,
15	do you?
16	A I don't the best I can answer that, sir, is
17	that no two people have that same those same features
18	and that same arrangement agreement.
19	Q I wasn't asking that question, so I will go
20	into this.
21	MR. SHELDON: May I get the chart, please?
22	THE CLERK: The fingerprint?
23	MR. SHELDON: Yes. Would you give it to the

1	Witness, ple	ease.
2		(The Clerk handed a photograph to the Witness
3	for his exam	nination.)
4		BY MR. SHELDON:
5	Q	Would you open it up, please. Perhaps, if you
6	could, hold	it so the jury could see it, just so they
7	understand v	what I'm talking about.
8		(The Witness complied with the request.)
9	Q	So I'm trying to make this quick. Look at
10	Number 1.	
11	A	Yes, sir.
12	Q	Describe what kind of feature Number 1 is.
13	А	Feature Number 1 is a ridge that divides and
14	calls it a k	oifurcation.
15	Q	Bifurcation. And what percent of the
16	populations	have bifurcations?
17	A	A hundred percent probably.
18	Q	All right. Number 2, what kind of detail is
19	that?	
20	A	Excuse me?
21	Q	At a point where characteristic is Number 2?
22		We're looking at the latent print.
23	A	It appears to be a bifurcation, as well.
	1	

I think I've made my point. Thank you. 1 0 Now, taking that testimony into account, do 2 3 you know the percentage of people who have each of those features in there? 4 From my observation, a hundred percent of the 5 population most likely has some bifurcations appearing 6 7 somewhere on that friction ridge. Right. And so the question is, the 8 arrangement of those details, the characteristics are in a 9 pattern; is that correct? 10 11 That's correct. Do you know what percentage of the population 12 has, for example, -- and you pointed out Number 1 13 14 bifurcation, Number 2 a bifurcation -- what percentage of the population has two bifurcations in that pattern? 15 In that exact arrangement? 16 Α 17 0 Yes. 18 Not likely any, sir. So your testimony is that after two points, 19 0 after identifying Point 1 as a bifurcation and Point 2 is 20 a bifurcation, of which all people have those, you could 21 conclude that that print was Mr. Dowdy's from those two 22 points? 23

	57
1	A That's not what I said, sir.
2	Q Why don't you explain what you said.
3	A I do not conduct my evaluation based upon two
4	points. I look at the entire information that's there and
5	I process all of that information that is there. These
6	are two that I just illustrated for you for court.
7	Q So those two points I'm going to ask it
8	again because I didn't get an answer.
9	Those two points, Number 1 and Number 2, two
10	bifurcations and let me speak of those two points as a
11	pattern, a relationship distance between the two of them,
12	what percentage of the population has those two points in
13	that relation?
14	MR. HORAN: I object, the question's been
15	asked and answered.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon.
17	MR. SHELDON: He hasn't answered the question
18	at all.
19	THE COURT: Rephrase it, go ahead and ask it.
20	BY MR. SHELDON:
21	Q What percentage of the population has those
22	two points in that relationship?
23	A I don't know. That's the best that I can

1	answer that.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	BY MR. SHELDON:
4	Q Let me ask you about discrepancies.
5	If one discrepancy is found then there's no
6	match, isn't that correct?
7	A If it's an unexplainable discrepancy.
8	Q If one unexplainable discrepancy is found,
9	regardless of the number of points, then a known print or
10	an ink print does not match a latent; isn't that correct?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q You could have a fifty points of similarity,
13	but if you found an unexplained discrepancy, then the ink
14	print does not match the known?
15	A I'd have to see the particular latents.
16	Q So would you disagree with that statement?
17	A I disagree with the answer to that.
18	Q So if you had a fingerprint, a palm print, in
19	which you found fifty points of similarity and then you
20	found a clear unexplained discrepancy, you would make an
21	identification of that print; is that correct?
22	A I would have to see the print.
23	Q I'm asking you let me ask you a

hypothetical question.

If you had fifty points or characteristics in a latent print, which in your mind you matched to an ink print and then you found one unexplained discrepancy that was clear in the latent print that did not match the ink print, one unexplained clear discrepancy, in that hypothetical, would you call those a match?

A Your Honor, I cannot answer a hypothetical question. I'd have to see the print. I cannot answer that a yes or no.

THE COURT: That's his answer to your question.

MR. SHELDON: Your Honor, may I get

Commonwealth's Exhibit 27, please, for the Witness. Can I

have marked for identification Defendant's Exhibit 15,

please.

THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon, even when he does, I still want the Deputy to show it to opposing counsel to make sure that everybody's looking at the same thing at the same time. It's marked for identification as Defendant's 15.

1	60 (The photograph referred to
2	above was marked
3	Defendant's Exhibit
4	No. 15, for identification.)
5	THE COURT: Do you want to give it to the
6	Witness?
7	MR. SHELDON: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: Okay, sir.
9	(Mr. Sheldon handed a photograph to the
10	Witness for his examination.)
11	BY MR. SHELDON:
12	Q Can you identify Defendant's 15.
13	A I identify this appears to be the same
14	photograph that was from we discussed earlier from
15	Areas A identifying the left ring finger of Mr. Dowdy.
16	MR. SHELDON: Your Honor, may I hand two pens
17	to the Witness to make a mark on the photograph?
18	THE COURT: Is there any objection to a mark
19	being made on the photograph, Mr. Horan?
20	MR. SHELDON: On Defendant's exhibit.
21	THE COURT: I understand.
22	MR. HORAN: Marking the exhibit?
23	THE COURT: He wants to put a mark on a

	61
1	defendant's exhibit that's been marked for identification.
2	MR. HORAN: I have no objection to that.
3	THE COURT: Okay, sir.
4	(Mr. Sheldon handed an item to the Witness.)
5	BY MR. SHELDON:
6	Q Mr. Reeves, on Defendant's Exhibit 15, you
7	used Finger Number 9, the left ring finger, to make an
8	identification; is that correct?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q Would you with a green pen with the green
11	pen, please, circle, as closely as you can, that friction
12	ridge detail that you used.
13	(The Witness complied with the request.)
14	Q And would you use the red pen to circle all
15	other friction ridge detail, please. It's the red pen, in
16	the photograph, please, all friction ridge detail.
17	(The Witness complied with the request.)
18	Q Mr. Reeves, if you would just hold the picture
19	up, please, so the jury can see it. Just so they're
20	following along with what we're doing.
21	(The Witness complied with the request.)
22	Q So the green circle is the friction ridge
23	detail that you matched to Mr. Dowdy's ink print and the

	62
1	red circles are friction ridge detail that you did not
2	that is not part of the green circle; is that correct?
3	A Yes.
4	MR. HORAN: I object to the form of the
5	question. In form it has no relevance.
6	THE COURT: Overruled and the witness has
7	answered.
8	BY MR. SHELDON:
9	Q Is there any other friction ridge detail in
10	the picture that you did not circle?
11	Regardless of clarity. Even unclear friction
12	ridge detail I'm asking you to circle.
13	A I'm sorry, the question, sir.
14	Q My question is, is there any friction ridge
15	detail, regardless of clarity, that you didn't circle?
16	A Not that I can see.
17	Q Now, I think these will go more quickly.
18	MR. SHELDON: Defendant's Number 16 for
19	identification, please.
20	(The photograph referred to
21	above was marked
22	Defendant's Exhibit
23	No. 16, for identification.)

	03
1	BY MR. SHELDON:
2	Q While that's being marked, Mr. Reeves,
3	Defendant's Number 15, is that a fair and accurate copy of
4	the print that you evaluated?
5	A I believe so.
6	Q Mr. Reeves, on Defendant's Exhibit 16, would
7	you please take the green pen and circle those areas on
8	the latent print which you concluded at your examination
9	matched Mr. Dowdy's ink print.
10	A With the green pen, sir?
11	Q Yes, please. Green for, you used it to make
12	your identification.
13	(The Witness complied with the request.)
14	A May I have indulgence to refer to my
15	photographs of this?
16	Q Yes, absolutely.
17	(Pause.)
18	MR. SHELDON: Your Honor, may I approach the
19	Witness to see what the Witness is referring to?
20	THE COURT: For what purpose, Mr. Sheldon?
21	MR. SHELDON: So I can see what the Witness is
22	using to help his demonstrative testimony.
23	THE COURT: Yes, sir.

1	64
1	BY MR. SHELDON:
2	Q Is Defendant's Exhibit 16 a fair and accurate
3	representation of the latent that you examined?
4	A Yes, sir.
5	MR. SHELDON: Defendant's Exhibit 17 for
6	identification, please
7	THE COURT: It will be so marked.
8	(The photograph referred to
9	above was marked
10	Defendant's Exhibit
11	No. 17, for identification.)
12	BY MR. SHELDON:
13	Q Mr. Reeves, can you identify Defendant's
14	Exhibit 17.
15	A It's a photograph of it's a photograph of
16	Area C, the doors.
17	Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of
18	the latent that you looked at at this case?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Would you, please, with a red pen I
21	withdraw the question.
22	You did not make any identification using C;
23	is that correct?

	65
1	A That's correct.
2	Q Would you with a red pen circle all the
3	friction ridge detail in the picture.
4	(The Witness complied with the request.)
5	THE COURT: Picture is Defendant's 17, as I
6	understand it correctly?
7	MR. SHELDON: Yes.
8	THE WITNESS: This is done without the benefit
9	of a magnifying glass under appropriate lighting, so.
10	MR. SHELDON: Your Honor, may I offer the
11	Defendant a magnifying glass?
12	THE COURT: You can offer the Witness one if
13	he wants one
14	MR. SHELDON: The Witness.
15	THE COURT: or if he wants to go sit some
16	place else where he has better light in order to do what
17	he needs to do. He's entitled to do that also.
18	(Mr. Sheldon handed an item to the Witness for
19	his examination.)
20	BY MR. SHELDON:
21	Q That's three times magnification.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon, how much longer would
23	you anticipate being with your cross examination of the

	66
1	Witness, approximately?
2	MR. SHELDON: Your Honor might be aware that
3	I'm not good at estimating, but I would say approximately
4	thirty minutes, perhaps, twenty.
5	THE COURT: Would it be possible for the
6	Witness to be able to do what you're just asking him to do
7	during the course of the luncheon recess and then to
8	provide it to counsel at that point to be made part of the
9	record instead of taking the time to do it while the
10	jury's in the box?
11	MR. SHELDON: Your Honor, I wanted to ask the
12	Witness questions about this.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Then
14	MR. SHELDON: Before we
15	THE COURT: Then we'll wait.
16	(Pause.)
17	BY MR. SHELDON:
18	Q Why don't you keep that, Mr. Reeves, and I
19	apologize, Defendant's Exhibit 16, that's latent B, I
20	neglected to ask you to circle.
21	Do you have that in your hand, Defendant's
22	Exhibit 16?
23	I neglected to ask you, with a red pen, would

	67
1	you circle all friction ridge all other friction ridge
2	detail in the picture.
3	(The Witness complied with the request.)
4	BY MR. SHELDON:
5	Q Have you completed
6	MR. SHELDON: This is going to be Defendant's
7	Exhibit 18. for identification Defendant's Exhibit 18.
8	(The photograph referred to
9	above was marked
10	Defendant's Exhibit
11	No. 18, for identification.)
12	BY MR. SHELDON:
13	Q Mr. Reeves, can you identify that picture?
14	A Give me a second, sir.
15	Q Take your time.
16	A This is Area photograph of Area D.
17	Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of
18	the latent that you used in this case?
19	A It appears to be.
20	Q Would you, please, go through the same
21	exercise. Take the green pen and circle, please, with the
22	green pen the friction ridge detail that you used to make
23	your identification.

1	(The Witness complied with the request.)
2	Q And with a red pen, Mr. Reeves, if you would
3	circle all other friction ridge detail in the picture.
4	(The Witness complied with the request.)
5	Q You done?
6	A Yes.
7	MR. SHELDON: May I hand Defendant's Exhibit
8	19 for identification, please.
9	(The photograph referred to
10	above was marked
11	Defendant's Exhibit
12	No. 19, for identification.)
13	BY MR. SHELDON:
14	Q Mr. Reeves, just feel free to alert me when
15	you're done with it.
16	A Okay.
17	Q Can you identify Defendant's Exhibit 19?
18	A Yes. It appears to be images from Area E of
19	the (inaudible)
20	Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of
21	the latent print that you used in this case?
22	A Close, yes. Appears to be.
23	Q Would you do the same exercise, please. Using

the green pen, circle those areas of the friction ridge 1 2 detail you used to make your identification. 3 (The Witness complied with the request.) 4 THE COURT: Counsel, while he's doing that can 5 I see you all at the bench for a quick moment, please. 6 Mr. Lopez, I'd like you to come up also, 7 please. 8 BENCH CONFERENCE 9 THE COURT: We're probably going to be hard 10 pressed to get this case to the jury at all today. 11 What is your reaction if the Sheriff can 12 provide security to having the case argued to the jury 13 first thing tomorrow morning if the evidence is 14 (inaudible) -- today instead of waiting to Tuesday of next 15 week? 16 That's fine with me, Your Honor. MR. LOPEZ: 17 MR. HORAN: And let them deliberate tomorrow? 18 THE COURT: Instead of waiting until --Yeah. 19 four day hiatus in a case of this nature. Especially with 20 the technical evidence I don't think it's in anyone's best 21 interest. I've spoken to the Chief Judge, he said it's 22 fine with him. I'm trying to get the Deputy of Supervisors in 23

	70
1	the Sheriff's Department, I'm much I think it would be
2	better for everyone if this case could be concluded and
3	sent to the jury tomorrow, if they can be there and then
4	let them go ahead and deliberate tomorrow instead of
5	waiting until next Tuesday.
6	MR. LOPEZ: I agree, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Just wanted to make sure it okay
8	with all of you before I went any further.
9	MR. LOPEZ: Yes, sir.
10	OPEN COURT
11	BY MR. SHELDON:
12	Q And with the red pen, please, mark circle
13	all other friction ridge detail.
14	(The Witness complied with the request.)
15	Q Mr. Reeves, do you have Commonwealth's 27 in
16	front of you?
17	A I'm sorry?
18	Q Do you have Commonwealth's Exhibit 27 in front
19	of you?
20	That should be, I believe, the picture of the
21	Verizon box.
22	When you looked at these pictures that you
23	have been drawing on, Defendant's 15 through 19, the A

,	71
1	through E on the Verizon box corresponds those pictures
2	correspond with A through E; is that correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q All right.
5	MR. SHELDON: May I hand him a blue pen just
6	to quickly mark each photograph A through E in the margin
7	of the photographs, Defendant's Exhibits 15 through 19.
8	THE COURT: You want him to mark A through E
9	in each of those photographs?
10	MR. SHELDON: A through E on each photograph.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. SHELDON: Just so it's clear which
13	BY MR. SHELDON:
14	Q In the margin simply so it doesn't obscure any
15	friction ridge detail, and as large as you can in the
16	margin, please.
17	A I'm sorry, what?
18	Q Please, just mark the letters as large as you
19	can in the margin, A through E.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon, if there are other
21	photos that you're going to be asking the Witness to mark
22	
23	MR. SHELDON: No.

1	773
1	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
2	MR. SHELDON: No, Your Honor. I'm done.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Because we're right around
4	the time for the morning recess for these folks. They've
5	had a couple of minutes, but I'd like to give them ten
6	more.
7	MR. SHELDON: (Inaudible)
8	THE COURT: Is this a logical breaking point?
9	MR. SHELDON: Yes, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Okay. We're going to take another
11	ten minutes for recess right now. Counsel, twenty five of
12	according to that clock, I want everyone seated ready to
13	go at a quarter of according to that clock.
14	Folks, pads and pens in the jury box. We'll
15	see you in ten minutes.
16	(Whereupon, at approximately 11:37 o'clock
17	a.m., the jury retired from the courtroom.)
18	(Recess.)
19	MR. SHELDON: I am anticipating another
20	objection to an authority that I'm going to read. May Mr.
21	Horan and I approach and clarify this now?
22	THE COURT: Well, do you need to approach if
23	the jury's not in the room?

	13
1	MR. SHELDON: No, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Well, have you discussed it with
3	Mr. Horan?
4	MR. SHELDON: No, I haven't.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Well, then you can briefly
6	discuss it with Mr. Horan to see if there's an objection,
7	but I'd really like to move things along to the extent
8	that we reasonably can.
9	(Discussion, off the record.)
10	MR. SHELDON: So I wanted to be able to
11	explain that these Swigfast guidelines we're talking about
12	are
13	THE COURT: Well, don't give me an
14	explanation. Tell me what the question is going to be and
15	I can find out if Mr. Horan objects to the question.
16	MR. SHELDON: Do you agree or disagree that
17	there are areas of common interest to the latent print
18	community and other fingerprint applications, like
19	criminal history biometrics and that the Swigfast
20	guidelines are developed at specific requirements of the
21	latent print community and they differ with those other
22	applications?
23	Reason for the question is because I will get

1 into the fact that the Witness does mostly other 2 applications and so is not familiar with latent print 3 quidelines. THE COURT: What difference does that make? 4 5 If he's not familiar with the Swig latent 6 print guidelines, what difference does that make as far as 7 impeaching goes? 8 MR. SHELDON: The Witness has eluded to the 9 fact that his work is non-latent work in other fingerprint 10 applications is relevant to the weight of his opinion in 11 this case, and my argument is, the weight of his opinion 12 is based on his expertise as a latent print examiner none 13 other fingerprint applications. 14 There are many other fingerprint applications 15 of which this witness takes part in many of them and the 16 vast majority --17 THE COURT: Okay. Well, why don't you ask him 18 that first and then if you need to you can, and it's 19 appropriate, you can get into the -- is it Swig, I'm 20 sorry? 21 MR. SHELDON: Yes. Swigfast. Scientific 22 Working Group. I'm not sure -- the Swigfast 23 THE COURT:

guidelines, Mr. Sheldon, if it's confusing me, I trust -- and it's confused Mr. Horan, I think it's pretty likely it's going to confuse the jurors --

MR. SHELDON: I'm not trying to get into it more about what they are and why and I haven't been allowed to.

because -- simply because a particular organization has certain guidelines doesn't mean that a witness can be impeached based upon his organization not following some other organizations guidelines in a particular case, and there are things that are required, things that should be done, I've let you go into all of that with the witness, but just because organization A does some things a particular way, doesn't mean that all other organizations have to do things the same exact way or someone who happens to be a member of organization B is subject to impeachment.

The Fairfax County Police Department is not on trial in this case. Your client's on trial in this case. You're entitled to do what you need to do to attempt to undermine the testimony of this witness on behalf of the Commonwealth.

1 Mr. Sheldon, I've tried to draw lines that I felt were appropriate. If anything I've probably drawn 2 3 them more on your side than -- I would have to of, but I don't understand your question, it's going to be confusing 4 5 to the jury in my opinion. 6 You can ask your question, I'll hear whatever 7 Mr. Horan has to say as far as an objection for whatever 8 quidance that may give you, but I want the jury back in. 9 I told them they'd be back in the courtroom five minutes 10 ago. 11 Let's go. 12 (Whereupon, at approximately 11:53 o'clock 13 a.m., the jury returned to the courtroom and resumed their 14 seats in the jury box.) 15 THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon, you may continue with 16 your examination of the Witness. You may be seated. 17 BY MR. SHELDON: 18 Mr. Reeves, you have pictures marked A through E in front you, that's Defendant's Exhibit 15 through 19 19 20 21 Excuse me, could you speak a little A JUROR: 22 louder, please. Thank you. BY MR. SHELDON: 23

1	Q You have Defendant's Exhibits 15 through 19 in
2	front of you; is that right?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And
5	A JUROR: A little bit louder.
6	THE COURT: From the Witness?
7	A JUROR: Yes. Please.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Reeves, speak directly
11	into that microphone, if you would please and maybe
12	reposition it, so you speak or reposition yourself so
13	you're speaking right into it. Thank you.
14	(The Witness complied with the request.)
15	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
16	BY MR. SHELDON:
17	Q In each photograph the green circle is the
18	friction ridge detail you used in your identification; is
19	that right?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q And the red is all other friction ridge
22	detail?
23	A Yes, sir.

	78
1	Q The chart that you used in your testimony,
2	does the area from the chart appear in any of the green
3	circles?
4	THE COURT: The chart being the blow up that
5	was used yesterday, Mr. Sheldon?
6	MR. SHELDON: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MR. SHELDON: The big blow up points of
9	identification 1 through 10.
10	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, are we talking about
11	Commonwealth's 46?
12	MR. SHELDON: Is that it, Commonwealth's 46?
13	BY MR. SHELDON:
14	Q Which photograph does it appear in?
15	A It appears in photograph D.
16	Q And are you sure that in Defendant's Exhibits
17	15 through 19, you have circled all friction detail all
18	friction ridge detail?
19	A As near as I can see under the circumstances.
20	Yes, sir.
21	Q Do you need more time to do that?
22	A I don't believe so, sir.
23	Q Mr. Reeves, in general, can a computer make an

_		
1	identificati	ion of a latent to an ink print?
2	А	No.
3	Q	And a computer can give false negatives; isn't
4	that correct	=?
5	А	It can.
6		MR. HORAN: I object on the grounds of
7	relevance.	
8	i	THE COURT: What's the relevance?
9		Is there evidence that he used a computer for
10	purposes of	his analysis?
11		MR. SHELDON: I'll withdraw the question.
12		THE COURT: Question's withdrawn
13		BY MR. SHELDON:
14	Q	It takes a person
15		MR. SHELDON: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
16		BY MR. SHELDON:
17	Q	It takes a person to do the evaluation because
18	the process	requires human judgement?
19	А	Your question again, sir.
20	Q	It takes a person to do the evaluation, not a
21	computer, be	ecause the evaluation takes human judgement?
22	A	Human judgement and skill.
23	Q	Judgement and skill.

So you're evaluation and identification, would 1 2 you call that subjective? 3 Α The best that I can answer that is it's 4 subjective criteria based upon objective criteria. 5 Let me ask you briefly about your proficiency 6 testing. You have in front of you the Swigfast Quality 7 Assurance Guideline and I'm going to read from that 8 The Swigfast, the Scientific Working Group for quideline. 9 friction ridge analysis quideline 5.2. 10 Do you agree or disagree that a proficiency 11 test should be administered to each latent print examiner 12 annually? 13 MR. HORAN: Your Honor, once again, I object. 14 That's somebody else's theory on how it should be done as 15 opposed to what Fairfax county requires. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon? 17 MR. SHELDON: This witness has already 18 recognized this Quality Assurance Guideline as an 19 authority and whether he --20 THE COURT: I'm going to allow him to answer 21 that question. THE WITNESS: Okay. Your question again, sir. 22 23 BY MR. SHELDON:

		81
1	Q	Do you agree or disagree that a proficiency
2	test should	be administered to each latent print examiner
3	annually?	
4	А	I don't necessarily feel that I feel that
5	it's not ned	cessary.
6	Q	You do not take annual proficiency tests?
7	А	No, sir.
8	Q	You haven't taken one in several years?
9	А	No, sir.
10	Q	You've testified nine or ten times before, all
11	in Fairfax;	is that right?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	How many times have you testified in circuit
14	court?	
15	A	I don't recall. Two or three
16	Q	What was the name of the last circuit court
17	case you te:	stified in?
18	A	I don't recall, sir.
19	Q	Do you recall the name of any case you
20	testified in	n in circuit court?
21	A	I can't recall the names of the case. I can
22	recall one	one case by name. I think I can remember
23	the Defenda:	nt's name.
	l	

	82
1	Q What was the Defendant's name?
2	A I believe his name was Turner, but I'm not
3	sure.
4	Q So in your experience as a latent print
5	examiner, you recall one case in which you've testified in
6	circuit court; is that right?
7	A By name. I've testified at least two or three
8	times in circuit court.
9	Q I'm going to read to you a statement from the
10	FBI Advanced Latent Fingerprint School. I'm going to read
11	four short statements to you and ask whether you disagree
12	or agree. Again, this is the FBI Advanced Latent
13	Fingerprint School, the one that you attended.
14	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, I object on the
15	grounds of relevance.
16	THE COURT: Well, I need to hear what they
17	are, Mr. Horan. Depending on what they say, I may agree,
18	I may disagree with you. Let me hear what they have to
19	say.
20	BY MR. SHELDON:
21	Q An evaluation of fingerprints containing a
22	score of points in the hands of a unknowledgeable person
23	could constitute a greater hazard to the science then a

	83
1	comparison of print possessing relatively few points in
2	the hands of a thoroughly experienced technician.
3	That's number 1.
4	THE COURT: Well, let's stop right there. Do
5	you want to ask him if he agrees or disagrees with that.
6	MR. SHELDON: Yes.
7	BY MR. SHELDON:
8	Q Do you agree or disagree?
9	A Re-read it again, please.
10	Q An evaluation of fingerprints containing a
11	score of points in the hands of an unknowledgeable person
12	let me withdraw the question. Let me just go to the
13	second question, which is shorter.
14	Do you agree or disagree, experience is an
15	indispensable factor in fingerprint work?
16	A I agree.
17	Q Third one is very short.
18	Do you agree or disagree, that long time
19	assignment to fingerprint duties alone, does not
20	necessarily breed an expert?
21	A Generally.
22	Q There are different fingerprint applications,
23	aren't there?

	84
1	A Well, the two yes. I short, yes.
2	Q And I'm going to read you one more short
3	statement and as you if you agree or disagree. This is a
4	continuation of the third statement. The third is long
5	time assignment of fingerprint duties does not necessarily
6	breed an expert.
7	The fourth comes right next, there must be a
8	continuous comparison of fragmentary impressions,
9	obervations of percula rarities and variations.
10	MR. HORAN: I object on the grounds of
11	relevance.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon?
13	MR. SHELDON: I'll withdraw the question
14	THE COURT: Question's withdrawn.
15	BY MR. SHELDON:
16	Q Turning to your experience, Mr. Reeves, at the
17	time that you worked for the FBI, from 1972 to 1976, you
18	didn't have a college degree at that point; is that
19	correct?
20	A No, sir.
21	Q You had a high school diploma at the time you
22	worked for the FBI?
23	A Yes.

1		85
1	Q	You now have a bachelor's of arts degree?
2	А	Yes, sir.
3	Q	It's not a science degree?
4	А	No.
5	Q	It's in interpersonal communications?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	You got it in 1999?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	When you worked at the FBI from '72 to '76,
10	you did not	work on latent prints; isn't that correct?
11	А	No.
12	Q	You worked in the known fingerprint section?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And very little of your work involved latent
15	fingerprint	identification to an ink print?
16	А	True.
17	Q	You worked at the Illinois State Police I
18	withdraw tha	at question.
19		When you worked for the FBI, you never
20	testified in	n a case; isn't that true?
21	А	That's true.
22	Q	You worked at the Illinois State Police from
23	'77 to '94?	

1	A	That's true.
2	Q	You did similar work to the work you did at
3	the FBI?	
4	А	Uh-huh.
5	Q	Very little or none on latent prints?
6	А	I attended some schools on latent prints, yes.
7	Q	I'm asking about your work experience.
8	А	No.
9	Q	You worked for Identics next.
10		You left Illinois state police in '94, you
11	worked for	Identics from '94 to '96; is that right?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Identics is that's corporate work, meaning
14	you use kno	wn prints, ink prints, to do background checks;
15	is that cor	rect?
16	А	Correct.
17	. Q	You didn't work on latent prints?
18	А	No.
19	Q	You worked for Sears next.
20		You went from Identics to Sears, '97 to '98;
21	is that rig	ht?
22		No latent experiences at Sears; is that right?
23	A	No.

1		87
1	Q	What did you do from 1998 to 2001?
2	А	Well, if it's anybody's business, I took three
3	years off to	help my wife's career.
4	Q	So the only thing I meant by that is from '98
5	to '01, it's	s not on your resume, is it, what you did from
6	'98 to '01?	
7	А	No.
8	Q	And you didn't work on latent prints from '98
9	to '01; is t	chat right?
10	A	Did some seminars.
11	Q	You did no work?
12	A	No.
13	Q	So you have testified that you have thirty
14	three years	of experience in fingerprints identification;
15	is that cor	rect?
16	A	I think I testified over thirty years.
17	Q	For the first twenty-eight, twenty-nine years
18	of your care	eer, you didn't work on latent prints
19	identificati	on?
20	A	True.
21	Q	You never worked on latent print
22	identificati	on full time until you arrived in Fairfax?
23	A	True.
	1	

	88
1	Q Fairfax has no written procedures?
2	A Excuse me?
3	Q Fairfax has no written procedures that you
4	have ever used in making a latent print identification?
5	A No.
6	Q Most of your work in Fairfax is on known
7	prints, not on latents; isn't that correct?
8	A That's not true.
9	Q You do a lot of work on known prints with the
10	Adult Detention Center?
11	A I compare prints from the Adult Detention
12	Center to unsolved latent cases every day of the week.
13	Comparing those prints to unsolved latent cases utilizing
14	computer search and secondly, I do latent print
15	submissions to those known prints.
16	It's a two fold process the way it's
17	structured here in Fairfax.
18	Q Now, I'm going to read to you from Advanced
19	Latent Fingerprint School. Just put it in context, it's a
20	paragraph. You answered that you agreed with long time
21	assignment that fingerprint duties alone does not
22	necessarily breed an expert.
23	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, that question's been
	I control of the second of the

1	asked and answered.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. SHELDON: I'm just trying to get context
4	
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	BY MR. SHELDON:
7	Q The next question is, do you agree or disagree
8	that it must be continuous comparison of fragmentary
9	impressions, observations of peculiarities and variations
10	and thoughtful consideration to do latent print
11	examination?
12	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, I object on the
13	grounds of relevance.
14	THE COURT: Overruled.
15	THE WITNESS: That's what I do everyday, sir.
16	BY MR. SHELDON:
17	Q Do you agree or disagree with that statement?
18	A I agree with the statement.
19	MR. SHELDON: Your Honor, Court's indulgence
20	for a moment.
21	(Pause.)
22	MR. SHELDON: No further questions, Your
23	Honor.

		90
1		THE COURT: Any redirect, Mr. Horan?
2		MR. HORAN: Yes, Your Honor.
3		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
4		BY MR. HORAN:
5	Q	Mr. Reeves, counsel has asked you questions in
6		different areas and I want to go into those.
7		He asked you about accreditation and he talked
8	about accre	ditation of the Northern Virginia Crime Lab; do
9	you recall	
10	_	Well, the I'm sorry.
11	Q	He asked you a question about that lab whether
12	_	as not accredited; right?
13	A	The state lab or
14	Q	Yeah.
15	A	Yes, sir. He asked me that.
16	Q	And then he asked you a question about whether
17	your depart	ment is accredited?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Is your department a crime lab?
20	A	No, sir.
21		MR. SHELDON: Objection, Your Honor.
22		THE COURT: Legal basis.
23		MR. SHELDON: It's irrelevant whether you're a

MR. SHELDON:

23

Objection. Objection.

	92
1	and confrontation clause
2	THE COURT: When and if he asks him about any
3	specifics of any particular situation, Mr. Sheldon, the
4	objection's may be potentially well taken. At this point,
5	in light of the cross examination, the objection's
6	overruled.
7	THE WITNESS: Your question again, Mr. Horan.
8	BY MR. HORAN:
9	Q Let me take it from a different I withdraw
10	that, Your Honor.
11	You said in the course of responding to Mr.
12	Sheldon's question that in your shop you validate each
13	other's work meticulously?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q And what did you mean by that?
16	MR. SHELDON: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay
17	and confrontation cause grounds.
18	THE COURT: Overrule. He's not testifying
19	what anybody else said, he's testifying to what he meant
20	by something he was asked on cross examination.
21	THE WITNESS: What I do when I complete an
22	examination, I turn my work over to another examiner to
23	have it independently check, verify

	93
1	MR. SHELDON: Objection. Relevance.
2	THE COURT: Overruled.
3	THE WITNESS: and they the other
4	examiners within my section check every identification
5	that I affect, to see that it is in fact an
6	identification.
7	BY MR. HORAN:
8	Q Now, in the course of doing your work, if you
9	have a doubt as to whether there is a comparison, do you
10	make one?
11	A Do I what, sir?
12	Q Do you make a comparison if you have doubts
13	about it?
14	A I do not make an identification if there is a
15	doubt about it, sir.
16	Q Counsel was talking about in this case you
17	went for a number of days in order to draw the conclusions
18	you did.
19	Is that unusual?
20	A No, sir.
21	Q In the course of drawing your conclusion,
22	ultimately, what are you doing in all of those days?
23	A I'm comparing, for example may I use one of

my photographs?

I take -- in this particular case, sir, I would take the photograph and I look for -- I examine all the ridge detail and I compare that, I fold the photograph or whatever I need to do and compare it side by side with the ink prints of whomever I'm comparing to, whether it's Mr. Dowdy or anybody else, and I -- that's how I do that, and I look to see if everything is in agreement, if there's anything that's in disagreement.

- Q Why can't you do all that in one day?
- A It's an arduous work and -- and -- and often times -- and also it's not the only case I had going. I worked this and then I take -- I have to walk away, take a break, refresh my eyes, get something to eat or drink, come back, then we do the same thing again.
- Q During that period in October of 2005, was this case the only case you were working on?
 - A No, sir.
- Q During the same period where you were examining these, were you examining prints from other cases?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q And is that unusual?

1		95
1	A	No, sir.
2	Q	Counsel read from one of these groups that
3	says how yo	u're suppose to do these things and that group
4	said, don't	take shortcuts.
5		Do you recall him asking you about that?
6	A	Yes, sir.
7	Q	Did you take any shortcuts in this case?
8	A	No, sir.
9	Q	You testified in response to counsel's
10	question th	at you followed the generally accepted
11	practices o	f the fingerprint community?
12	A	Generally, yes.
13	Q	Did you deviate from those practices?
14	A	No, sir.
15	Q	Counsel asked you about what you did while you
16	were with t	he FBI from 1972 to '76.
17		Did you have any training with the FBI during
18	those years	in the field of latent examination?
19	A	We had some exposure to it and we spent some
20	time in the	latent section showing what the difference was
21	between the	latent prints and the known prints and we
22	spent some	time with latent examiners, they were on the
23	next floor,	and they would explain to us how they do, what

they do, how they compare to our work and things like 1 2 that. 3 Not a lot of formal training during that time. Now, you've indicated that you had over 800 4 5 hours training? Yes, sir. 6 Α 7 In the field of fingerprint examination? Fingerprint and crime scene, both. 8 Α Can you estimate what percentage of that is 9 Q latent examinations. 10 A good portion of it, but the bulk of it --11 sir? 12 13 Now, is there a great deal of difference Q between latent examination and known fingerprint 14 15 examination? Ά The difference, sir, the best I can -- it is 16 more difficult, however, the concept of affecting 17 identification, whether it's a ten print to a ten print or 18 to latent to ten print, it's the same. You're looking at 19 20 ridge detail. Now, at the preliminary hearing that counsel 21 talked to you about, were you talking about the same print 22 that's shown on Commonwealth's Exhibit 46, the huge blow 23

1	97
1	up?
2	A No, sir.
3	Q At the preliminary hearing, which print were
4	you talking about?
5	A I was talking about one from the same
6	photograph, but from a different area of the hand that
7	occurred under the finger. We call that the interdigital
8	area, it's just below the fingers of the left hand, as I'm
9	indicating here (indicating)
10	Q And on that particular area you determined
11	thirteen points?
12	A I stopped at thirteen.
13	Q Now, in Commonwealth's Exhibit Number 46, show
14	the jury on your hand where Commonwealth's 46 covers. On
15	your hand.
16	A (Indicating.)
17	Q On your hand.
18	A Oh. On my hand?
19	Q Yeah.
20	A Excuse me. Which was Commonwealth's 46?
21	Q That's the
22	A Oh the chart. This was the are called the
23	thenar, T-H-E-N-A-R. This was an area that surrounds the

left thumb (indicating), as I'm indicating here, below the 1 2 second flexion crease down towards the wrist. 3 of a half moon shaped. The ridge is sort of flowing in a half moon. 4 5 And that's not the same area you were 6 testifying to at the preliminary hearing? 7 Α That is correct. Yes, sir. Now, counsel asked you about the December 16th 8 9 discovery hearing. 10 Α Yes. Where was that hearing held? 11 12 It was in the law library of the Α 13 Commonwealth's Office on the 2nd or 1st Floor -- it was 14 near your office. 15 And what was the purpose of that 0 Okay. 16 meeting? 17 Mr. Sheldon had some questions to ask me and Α it was to look at the evidence and he asked me -- he had a 18 19 list of questions and I answered his questions. Did you show him any prints? 20 0 Just showed him the photograph -- Well, I 21 Α pulled out Photograph D and showed him a little bit where 22 that came from on the door, and he had a picture of 23

1	the door of that was corresponding to Commonwealth's 27
2	here, and I showed him a picture of D and showed him where
3	that was on this door.
4	Q Did you refuse to answer any of his questions?
5	MR. SHELDON: Object, Your Honor. Beyond the
6	scope of cross.
7	May I approach, Your Honor?
8	THE COURT: One second, please. You want to
9	approach, Mr. Sheldon?
10	MR. SHELDON: Yes, please, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	BENCH CONFERENCE
13	MR. SHELDON: Just want to point out that on
14	the cross I was unable to get into the subject matter
15	(inaudible) objections from the Commonwealth.
16	THE COURT: Well, Mr. Sheldon, I'm not sure
17	that I remember objections that were made by Mr. Horan
18	that prevented you from getting into the subject matter.
19	Are you referring to my concerns that I've
20	expressed to you
21	MR. SHELDON: I asked questions about did he
22	answer my questions (inaudible) because we didn't have
23	an investigator and there was an objection and then I

thought that objection was sustained and I could not ask questions --

THE COURT: There was one objection that I remember, Mr. Sheldon, where you asked the question -- I don't remember the exact words. It was something to the effect, isn't it true that -- which was consistent with what I had told you yesterday I wanted you to stay away from, but the question was being phrased in a way where John Sheldon has basically testified the way the question was asked.

I had told you yesterday that if you asked, did this happen or were you asked or something of that nature, that that would not imply to the jury that you were the person verifying to the truth of what you remembered took place during the course of the interaction, and I almost said something when that question was asked, but you didn't ask for any further guidance or anything else and I decided to leave the situation be.

The clear implication from the cross examination about what happened there was this witness hid something from you that should have been given to you.

What Mr. Horan's line of questioning now is intended to

try to (inaudible) -- something that would cause the jury 1 not to accept that basic premise and, therefore, it's an 2 3 appropriate redirect examination. Your objection is overruled. 4 MR. SHELDON: So if I want re-cross --5 6 THE COURT: You're going to ask --7 MR. HORAN: Judge, I'm not going to get into the substance, I'm just --8 If there is something 9 THE COURT: Okay. specific that you want to ask him on re-cross, as I told 10 you earlier, Mr. Sheldon, you can ask for leave of court 11 12 to conduct a re-cross examination. I may ask you the 13 subject matter is that you want to go into. If it's something that came up that I feel 14 15 that will be appropriate to allow you to have a re-cross 16 instead of it simply being a repetition of what happened 17 on cross or things that could have and should have gone on 18 during the initial cross, I may very well allow it, but that's something I'll have to determine whether it would 19 be appropriate it or not when and if the time comes that 20 you want to exercise your re-cross. 21 OPEN COURT 22

THE COURT:

23

I overrule the objection to the

1	last question.
2	BY MR. HORAN:
3	Q Did you refuse to answer any of counsel's
4	questions at the discovery hearing in December?
5	A No, sir.
6	Q Now, at the time you were first looking at all
7	these prints back in October of the year 2005, did you
8	have any evidence that personnel from the Police
9	Department had touched the door where these prints were?
10	A No, sir.
11	Q Did you have any evidence that anybody else
12	had touched that door while the police were there?
13	A No, sir.
14	Q If a police officer is directing traffic a
15	block away from where a body's found, do you count that as
16	the officer being in the crime scene?
17	MR. SHELDON: Objection, Your Honor.
18	Mischaracterization.
19	THE COURT: The question as it was phrased
20	does not specifically relate to the circumstances of this
21	particularly case and I therefore, overrule the objection.
22	THE WITNESS: The question is again?
23	THE COURT: If a police officer was a block

1 away from a crime scene routing traffic, would you 2 consider that officer to be within the area of the crime 3 scene? THE WITNESS: 4 No, sir. 5 BY MR. HORAN: 6 0 Just for purposes of definition. 7 What is your interpretation of crime scene? A crime scene is an immediate area around the 8 Α 9 crime and where the crime scene investigation personnel determine to be the scope of evidence that has fallen in 10 11 and around the crime scene, and they generally, from my 12 experience in both working in crime scenes and from 13 observing this department's procedures, they cordon that 14 off and the scene is secure from contamination is to 15 preserve and collect the evidence, so the crime scene and 16 to preserve it in such tack, so that they can remove it to 17 a more secure facility. 18 In this case, based upon what you knew, did 19 you feel there was any necessity for elimination prints? 20 No, sir. Α Now, in either the generally accepted 21 practices of the fingerprint community or in any of the 22 23 Fairfax County requirements, is there any requirement that

	104
1	you take notes as you're doing each step of the analysis?
2	A No, sir.
3	Q Have you ever taken notes in the course of the
4	evaluation?
5	A During training I did. Other than that, no.
6	Q Now, counsel asked you a number of questions
7	about the friction ridge detail.
8	A Yes.
9	Q I want to talk about that for a minute.
10	What is a print comparison value?
11	A Well, two things. Clarity is one and
12	quantity, the detail, is the other. There has to be a
13	sufficient quantity of detail to end agreement in sequence
14	in order to render it a value, so that you know that it
15	in your mind that it can be this is enough detail for
16	either identification or elimination.
17	Q Do all friction ridges have identification
18	value?
19	A No.
20	Q And counsel had you mark on those photographs
21	areas that you considered to have value and considered not
22	to have value.
23	A Yes.

	105
1	Q Right. And you marked in green the ones that
2	you thought had value and in, looks like purple or red, I
3	guess it's red, those that have no value?
4	A (Inaudible)
5	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, I wonder if the
6	Witness could come down in front of the jury.
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	(The Witness complied with the request.)
9	MR. SHELDON: I object, Your Honor. They
10	haven't been moved into evidence yet.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Horan.
12	MR. HORAN: Well, counsel has gone in to
13	detail about them, where they're from and what they're
14	from.
15	THE COURT: Do you want them in evidence, Mr.
16	Horan?
17	Unless and until they're in evidence, they
18	should not be displayed to the jury.
19	MR. HORAN: I don't have any objection to put
20	them in evidence.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Do you want them in
22	evidence?
23	MR. HORAN: Defendant's 15, Defendant's 16,

	106
1	Defendant's 17, Defendant's 18, Defendant's 19.
2	THE COURT: Is there any objection to them
3	being received into evidence?
4	MR. SHELDON: It is clear that the
5	Commonwealth is moving them in.
6	THE COURT: The Commonwealth is them into
7	evidence. Yes, sir.
8	MR. SHELDON: No objection.
9	THE COURT: There being no objection,
10	Defendant's 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 also, Mr. Horan?
11	MR. HORAN: Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: 15 through 19, I'll receive into
13	evidence at the request of the Commonwealth.
14	(The photographs previously
15	marked as Defendant's
16	Exhibit Nos. 15 through 19,
17	for identification, were
18	received in evidence.)
19	THE COURT: Mr. Sheldon, you can feel free to
20	move over if you'd like, sir.
21	BY MR. HORAN:
22	Q The big blow up, Commonwealth 46, that you
23	showed to the jury, on what area of the door is that

107
taken?
A That is from Area D.
Q And that's the area shown in Commonwealth's
Exhibit 18?
A Yes.
Q And you marked in green the areas that you
felt had value for comparison purposes?
A Yes.
Q Okay. How about looking at this red thing up
there. That looks like it has friction ridges that should
be good.
MR. SHELDON: Objection, Your Honor.
Testifying.
THE COURT: That he's leading the Witness?
MR. HORAN: I'm just saying that's what it
looks like.
THE COURT: Okay. I sustain the objection.
It's leading, Mr. Horan.
BY MR. HORAN:
Q Well, will you tell us why that has no value.
A Because there is two things. There appears to
be movement that was when the touch occurred, there
appears to be more than one impression take place or

possible double tap. I'm not sure, and that there's 1 2 sufficient -- insufficient detail in this area to affect individualization to any one particular person. 3 The other areas you have marked in red, is 4 there anything on there that you could use for a 5 6 comparison? 7 Α No, sir, not in the areas marked red. And why is that? 8 0 Well, the same reason I stated before, that 9 Α there -- there's insufficient detail to individualize to 10 11 the exclusion of anyone else, and that these -- there's just too much either distortion or movement or 12 13 insufficient ridge detail and agreement with the known 14 sample. Now, in the area marked in green, you indicate 15 there is usable value? 16 17 Α That is correct, sir. Would you show the jury on there which area in 18 green you used for the chart that was put into evidence as 19 20 Commonwealth's 46. This area -- this area right around here Α 21 (indicating), as I'm indicating, on the photograph, 22 approximately straight above centimeter four and five, 23

1	right in here (indicating), and the there's a half moon
2	type ridge pattern that I discussed earlier.
3	Q Would you just briefly point out the other two
4	areas where you're able to identify Matthew Dowdy's print.
5	A This area (indicating) below above the
6	ruler indicating from approximately six centimeters to ten
7	or eleven centimeters. This area right here (indicating)
8	occurs from the same portion of the (inaudible) it was
9	a different touch, but it still occurs from this area
10	around the thumb, so there's more than one touch.
11	Q I'm going to show you what was Defendant's
12	Exhibit 15
13	MR. HORAN: Judge, are we going to renumber
14	these now as Commonwealth's exibits
15	THE COURT: No, sir. No, they're marked,
16	directed is clear that you moved them into evidence, Mr.
17	Horan, for whatever difference that might make in this
18	case, but you may continue to refer to them as defense
19	exhibits.
20	BY MR. HORAN:
21	Q That's Defendant's Exhibit 15, what area of
22	the door is that?
23	A This is from Area A.

	110
1	Q Okay. And you show on there an area in green?
2	A This area (indicating) I've marked sort of in
3	a half moon type mark there, right
4	Q And the are you marked in green, is that the
5	one you identified as being ring finger of the Matthew
6	Dowdy?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q Now, in looking at the other ones marked in
9	red. Would you tell the jury why they were of no value
10	for comparison purposes.
11	A There was, again, on these there was
12	insufficient detail and agreement with the known standard.
13	Either there weren't enough ridge events or there was too
14	much movement movement or slippage within the finger,
15	however, during that time it was touched.
16	Q In the Defendant's Exhibit 16, what area of
17	the door is that?
18	A This is Area B.
19	Q Did you identify any of Mr. Dowdy's prints in
20	Area D?
21	A Yes. There were two touches that I identified
22	and I indicated here, outlined with the green marker.
23	Q Now, how about the areas marked in red; why

IIIcouldn't you use those? 1 2 For the same reasons, sir. They're either 3 obscured by movement or whatever the substance, the blood or too much -- or just not insufficient ridge detail. 4 5 In Defendant's Exhibit 17, you have marked all 6 of the prints there in red. 7 Does that mean you didn't find anything of 8 comparison value in there? 9 Α No, sir. 10 0 Why was that? 11 Because, in my mind, it did not meet the threshold, personal threshold, necessary to affect 12 13 positive identification. 14 And finally, Defendant's Exhibit 19, what area 15 of the door is that? 16 This is Area D -- I'm sorry, E. Α 17 0 Did you find areas on that door that you could 18 use to try to identify Mr. Dowdy's print? 19 Α Yes. Once again, an area I've outlined 20 approximately with a green marker occurring right here 21 (indicating) on the left edge of the impressions and on 22 these three right of this photograph, your right.

23

Q

Now, how about the areas marked in red, did

	112
1	you find anything there where you used in comparison
2	values?
3	A No, sir.
4	Q Why was that?
5	A Because the area it was either too much
6	movement, it did not agree with the area on the palm cards
7	the palm prints that I took of Mr. Dowdy.
8	Q Now, on a number of these photographs and I
9	want to show you Commonwealth's 18, and I want to point
10	out one particular area on 18, which appears to be prints
11	right there (indicating).
12	Would you tell the jury you indicated that
13	wasn't useable, why is that not useable?
14	A Well, there's, again, there's insufficient
15	ridge detail occurring in here to affect any kind of
16	individualization to anyone.
17	Q But there is ridge detail there, isn't it?
18	A Sure.
19	Q Why is that ridge detail not as good as any
20	other ridge detail?
21	A Well, again, there's insufficient to an
22	agreement to affect an individualization to anyone and,
23	while there's ridge detail, there's was not enough detail

1	in an appropriate sequence to affect a positive
2	identification to anyone.
3	Q Now, counsel read to you some standard about
4	having written reports and you indicated that you did have
5	a written report in this case?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q I'm going to show you what I'm going to ask
8	the Court to mark Commonwealth's Exhibit Number 47, I
9	think.
10	THE COURT: Yes, sir. Marked for
11	identification as Commonwealth's 47.
12	(The document referred to
13	above was marked
14	Commonwealth's Exhibit
15	No. 47, for identification.)
16	MR. HORAN: Excuse me, just a minute, Your
17	Honor. I just want to show him that ask about that too.
18	BY MR. HORAN:
19	Q Let me show you Commonwealth's Exhibit Number
20	47 for identification and ask can you identify that?
21	(Mr. Horan handed a document to the Witness
22	for his examination.)
23	A Yes, sir.

1	Q And how do you identify it?
2	A It's a report that I submitted to Detective
3	Bond. It's a copy of the report I submitted to Detective
4	Bond.
5	Q Now, down at the bottom of that report, do you
6	know what date that was?
7	It's got a shadow in there that blocks the
8	date.
9	A I believe it was November 22nd. Yes, sir,
10	November 22nd.
11	MR. HORAN: If it's agreeable I'm going to
12	have him write November 22nd on it.
13	MR. SHELDON: Yes.
14	BY MR. HORAN:
15	Q Would you put down November 22
16	THE COURT: Is there any objection to that,
17	Mr. Sheldon?
18	MR. SHELDON: No objection.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Then the Witness may write
20	in November 22nd, 2005.
21	(The Witness complied with the request.)
22	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, I offer that in
23	evidence as Commonwealth's Exhibit 47.

	115
1	THE COURT: Any objection?
2	MR. SHELDON: No objection.
3	THE COURT: There being no objection, it's
4	received in evidence.
5	(The document previously
6	marked as Commonwealth's
7	Exhibit No. 47, for
8	identification, was received
9	in evidence.)
10	MR. HORAN: I have no further questions, Your
11	Honor.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Anything further of the
13	Witness?
14	MR. SHELDON: No, Your Honor, nothing further.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Is the Witness free to go
16	or subject to recall, Mr. Horan?
17	MR. HORAN: Out of abundance of caution, he's
18	subject to recall.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Reeves, there's a chance you
20	may be recalled as a witness in this case. As a result,
21	don't discuss your testimony or anything else about the
22	case with anyone except for these three attorneys. If you
23	leave, leave a phone number where Mr. Horan can contact

i	116
1	you.
2	Can he go back to the office, Mr. Horan, or do
3	you want him to remain here?
4	MR. HORAN: Yes, he may.
5	THE COURT: You can go back to your office and
6	you'll be advised if we need you to testify further.
7	Thank you, sir. You may step down.
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Watch your step.
10	(The witness stood aside.)
11	THE COURT: Mr. Horan.
12	MR. HORAN: Your Honor, the Commonwealth
13	rests.
14	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, that
15	concludes the presentation and the evidence in the
16	Commonwealth's case in chief.
17	MR. SHELDON: Your Honor, we have some matters
18	we'd like to take up with the Court.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen,
20	there's some matters I'm going to have to take up with
21	counsel outside of your hearing that are probably going to
22	take us awhile, so I'm going to go ahead and send you to
23	lunch at this time.